THE IRISH SURVEY OF STUDENT ENGAGEMENT (ISSE)

Institution name: Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland

Results of ISSE 2017

A: Context

Development and implementation of the Irish Survey of Student Engagement is driven by the intention to inform, support and encourage quality enhancement. The survey takes place each February – March and is open to first year undergraduates, final year undergraduates, and postgraduate taught students. Twenty seven institutions participated in 2017.

The ISSE reflects many aspects of students' experiences in higher education. It is designed to focus on student engagement, namely the amount of time and effort that students put into meaningful and purposeful educational activities and the extent to which institutions provide such opportunities and encourage students to engage with them. The data collected reflects students' self-reported perceptions of their experiences and this data set is one of many sources of evidence available to institutions to inform their enhancement activities.

The ISSE national steering group regards the comprehensive nature of data gathered as a key strength. Interpretation of detailed results requires appreciation of the local context. This means that staff and students within individual institutions are best placed to own and to interrogate institution-level data. Accordingly, any queries about these data should be directed to the individual institution in question. Institutions are committed to interpreting and utilising ISSE data to enhance the experiences of their students and do not support the use of student engagement results for any overly simplistic purpose that could be perceived as ranking institutions.

To aid navigation of the data, responses for many of the individual questions may be combined to create related indicator scores. These indicators scores act as "signposts" and are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60. Calculation of these scores is explained in the introductory section of the national report. No single indicator reflects the complex dimensions of student behaviour and institutional performance. Comparison of individual scores across institutions is inappropriate given that the differences with respect to mission, resources, profile and response rates. Comparison of indicator scores for various disciplines (for example, section 3.6 of the national report) illustrates the notable variation that exists between fields of study at national level. The proportion of students studying particular disciplines also influences the overall results for each institution.

The following tables provide percentage responses by year / cohort, weighted at institutional level, and the calculated score (out of 60) for each indicator.

Any queries relating to data for individual institutions should be referred to the institution in question. If there are any queries about these results, please contact quality@rcsi.ie

B: Indicator scores (out of 60)

Many questions contribute to engagement indicators, as shown in section C. Indicator scores are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60 using responses to the contributing question items.

Indicator	Indicator score (all respondents)
Higher Order Learning	41.1
Reflective and Integrative Learning	34.2
Quantitative Reasoning	21.7
Learning Strategies	34.6
Collaborative Learning	31.8
Student-Faculty Interaction	15.2
Effective Teaching Practices	36.3
Quality of Interactions	41.2
Supportive Environment	33.9

C: Responses to individual questions

Higher Order Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	3.9%	6.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Applying facts, theories, or methods to	Some	14.6%	14.7%	12.6%	17.5%
practical problems or new situations	Quite a bit	38.9%	41.3%	27.2%	51.4%
	Very much	42.6%	37.9%	57.8%	31.2%
	Very little	6.5%	7.1%	9.3%	0.0%
Analysing an idea, experience, or line of	Some	22.3%	23.7%	24.4%	14.7%
reasoning in depth by examining its parts	Quite a bit	35.8%	35.2%	30.6%	46.2%
	Very much	35.4%	34.0%	35.7%	39.1%
	Very little	7.4%	8.7%	9.5%	0.0%
Evaluating a point of view, decision, or	Some	22.2%	24.0%	27.5%	8.0%
information source	Quite a bit	40.0%	36.2%	38.1%	54.9%
	Very much	30.3%	31.1%	24.8%	37.1%
	Very little	5.4%	6.8%	6.1%	0.0%
Forming an understanding or new idea from various pieces of information	Some	17.7%	19.8%	17.7%	11.5%
	Quite a bit	42.5%	43.5%	36.7%	49.4%
	Very much	34.4%	30.0%	39.5%	39.1%

Reflective and Integrative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Combined ideas from different subjects /	Never	2.9%	3.5%	2.7%	1.5%
	Sometimes	36.6%	39.1%	35.5%	30.5%
modules when completing assignments	Often	40.9%	39.5%	42.8%	41.8%
	Very often	19.6%	18.0%	19.0%	26.2%
	Never	10.7%	10.2%	13.8%	6.2%
Connected your learning to problems or	Sometimes	37.9%	39.3%	40.4%	28.8%
issues in society	Often	35.5%	34.8%	33.4%	41.6%
	Very often	15.9%	15.7%	12.3%	23.4%
	Never	19.2%	15.3%	27.5%	16.3%
Included diverse perspectives (political,	Sometimes	43.6%	45.8%	40.1%	42.9%
religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in	Often	27.0%	24.9%	25.7%	36.1%
discussions or assignments	Very often	10.2%	14.0%	6.7%	4.7%
	Never	7.9%	9.2%	9.4%	1.5%
Examined the strengths and weaknesses of	Sometimes	36.4%	41.8%	33.9%	24.5%
your own views on a topic or issue	Often	42.6%	37.1%	40.7%	63.3%
	Very often	13.1%	12.0%	16.1%	10.7%
	Never	5.1%	5.3%	5.1%	4.5%
Tried to better understand someone else's	Sometimes	34.9%	35.2%	35.8%	32.4%
views by imagining how an issue looks from	Often	40.1%	40.1%	36.9%	46.1%
their perspective	Very often	19.8%	19.3%	22.3%	16.9%
	Never	3.6%	5.3%	1.9%	1.7%
Learned something that changed the way you	Sometimes	29.2%	28.1%	32.8%	25.7%
understand an issue or concept?	Often	43.9%	42.0%	46.6%	45.0%
·	Very often	23.3%	24.6%	18.7%	27.5%
	Never	1.1%	1.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Connected ideas from your subjects /	Sometimes	19.9%	24.0%	18.2%	10.0%
modules to your prior experiences and	Often	44.2%	38.5%	46.5%	57.5%
knowledge	Very often	34.8%	36.3%	33.7%	32.5%
Quantitative Reasoning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	19.1%	19.6%	10.4%	31.5%
Reached conclusions based on your analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs,	Sometimes	46.7%	46.5%	50.8%	40.6%
statistics, etc.)	Often	25.0%	26.5%	23.5%	23.1%
, ,	Very often	9.2%	7.4%	15.4%	4.8%
Used numerical information to examine a	Never	30.7% 41.1%	28.8% 40.5%	28.2% 46.4%	40.6% 34.1%
real-world problem or issue (unemployment,	Sometimes Often	20.8%	22.9%	46.4% 16.1%	22.1%
climate change, public health, etc.)	Very often	7.5%	7.9%	9.4%	3.2%
	Never	30.6%	31.8%	27.3%	32.7%
Evaluated what others have concluded from	Sometimes	48.2%	52.1%	46.9%	38.7%
numerical information	Often	15.0%	14.1%	16.5%	15.4%
	Very often	6.1%	2.0%	9.4%	13.2%

Learning Strategies	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	10.3%	16.4%	5.5%	0.0%
Identified key information from	Sometimes	37.2%	42.0%	35.4%	25.6%
recommended reading materials	Often	36.1%	30.1%	39.6%	48.1%
	Very often	16.4%	11.5%	19.5%	26.3%
	Never	5.6%	7.0%	4.5%	3.4%
Desired and the second	Sometimes	34.4%	30.3%	36.4%	43.3%
Reviewed your notes after class	Often	27.1%	22.9%	31.5%	32.4%
	Very often	32.9%	39.8%	27.6%	20.9%
	Never	7.0%	7.2%	2.9%	13.1%
Summarised what you learned in class or	Sometimes	35.4%	30.0%	37.6%	48.1%
from course materials	Often	35.4%	35.1%	37.6%	32.4%
	Very often	22.2%	27.7%	21.9%	6.4%
Collaborative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	9.5%	10.0%	4.8%	16.7%
Asked another student to help you	Sometimes	44.4%	37.7%	47.0%	60.5%
understand course material	Often	30.8%	32.6%	33.7%	19.7%
	Very often	15.3%	19.8%	14.4%	3.0%
	Never	4.3%	3.7%	3.8%	7.5%
Explained course material to one or more	Sometimes	47.0%	42.0%	47.7%	61.2%
students	Often	32.7%	34.8%	31.5%	27.9%
	Very often	16.0%	19.5%	17.0%	3.4%
	Never	11.8%	11.2%	7.7%	21.0%
Prepared for exams by discussing or working	Sometimes	38.0%	42.6%	29.4%	39.7%
through course material with other students	Often	28.9%	24.9%	33.5%	32.9%
	Very often	21.3%	21.4%	29.4%	6.4%
	Never	8.8%	0.0%	18.0%	18.7%
Worked with other students on projects or	Sometimes	35.0%	38.2%	38.1%	19.3%
assignments	Often	37.8%	42.5%	32.3%	33.7%
	Very often	18.4%	19.3%	11.6%	28.3%
Student-Faculty Interaction	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	42.2%	46.3%	28.7%	52.1%
	Sometimes	41.1%	42.2%	42.4%	35.8%
Talked about career plans with academic staff	Often	11.7%	8.8%	19.0%	8.6%
	Very often	4.9%	2.7%	10.0%	3.4%
	Never	61.4%	60.0%	54.4%	77.1%
Worked with academic staff on activities	Sometimes	27.9%	31.8%	28.0%	16.3%
other than coursework (committees, student	Often	8.1%	6.7%	12.7%	4.8%
groups, etc.)	Very often	2.6%	1.6%	4.9%	1.8%
	Never	43.4%	46.5%	36.2%	45.6%
Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts	Sometimes	37.9%	40.9%	37.0%	30.2%
with academic staff outside of class	Often	13.9%	9.2%	19.1%	19.5%
with academic staff outside of class	Very often	4.9%	3.4%	7.7%	4.8%
	Never	29.2%	33.3%	13.9%	41.7%
Discussed your performance with academic	Sometimes	52.9%	55.7%	53.0%	44.4%
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Often	13.5%	8.8%	24.1%	10.4%
	Very often	4.4%	2.2%	9.0%	3.4%
	very orten	4.4/0	2.2/0	9.070	3.4/0

Effective Teaching Practices	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Clearly explained course goals and	Very little	2.5%	1.0%	5.9%	1.7%
	Some	14.6%	16.7%	13.3%	10.0%
requirements	Quite a bit	47.1%	43.1%	53.5%	48.9%
	Very much	35.8%	39.2%	27.2%	39.4%
	Very little	4.5%	3.8%	8.4%	0.0%
Taught in an organised way	Some	22.7%	25.6%	26.7%	7.2%
raught in an organiseu way	Quite a bit	44.8%	44.7%	45.4%	43.7%
	Very much	28.1%	25.8%	19.5%	49.1%
	Very little	4.4%	1.4%	6.6%	10.0%
Used examples or illustrations to explain	Some	17.6%	17.0%	24.8%	7.5%
difficult points	Quite a bit	41.7%	41.6%	45.9%	35.2%
	Very much	36.3%	39.9%	22.7%	47.4%
	Very little	27.1%	30.5%	22.1%	24.9%
Provided feedback on a draft or work in	Some	30.8%	32.0%	32.9%	23.7%
progress	Quite a bit	25.7%	23.1%	30.1%	26.7%
	Very much	16.4%	14.4%	15.0%	24.7%
Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments	Very little	15.9%	18.2%	16.4%	8.0%
	Some	33.0%	33.2%	36.4%	26.4%
	Quite a bit	32.2%	30.1%	33.3%	36.9%
	Very much	18.9%	18.4%	14.0%	28.7%
Quality of Interactions	Posponsos	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Quality of Interactions	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	1=Poor	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	2	1.5%	0.7%	2.5%	2.1%
	3	2.2%	3.4%	1.1%	0.0%
Students	4	11.6%	7.1%	21.3%	9.2%
	5	18.4%	18.6%	15.6%	22.6%
	6	22.8%	23.2%	23.0%	21.1%
	7=Excellent	43.1%	46.0%	36.5%	45.0%
	1=Poor	2.5%	2.0%	4.6%	0.0%
	2	5.9%	4.8%	7.4%	6.9%
	3	7.3%	6.6%	10.4%	4.1%
Academic advisors	4	19.7%	17.1%	24.1%	20.1%
	5	20.9%	20.2%	23.7%	18.2%
	6	20.4%	19.9%	21.5%	20.1%
	7=Excellent	23.4%	29.5%	8.2%	30.6%
	1=Poor	1.3%	0.5%	3.6%	0.0%
	2	4.3%	4.0%	4.3%	5.6%
	3	6.8%	8.7%	5.0%	3.8%
Academic staff	4	16.2%	12.9%	21.5%	17.2%
	5	24.9%	25.8%	25.5%	21.3%
	6	20.2%	20.1%	21.8%	17.8%

Quality of Interactions (continued)	Responses	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
	4.5	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
,	1=Poor	6.5% 9.0%	3.9%	9.6% 11.8%	11.2%
	2	11.0%	6.5% 9.7%	13.0%	13.4% 12.6%
Support services staff (career services,	3	15.4%			
student activities, accommodation, etc.)	4	18.2%	14.6% 16.4%	17.8% 19.7%	13.0% 22.9%
	5	19.7%	23.2%	17.8%	6.7%
	7 5	20.2%	25.6%	10.4%	20.2%
	7=Excellent	6.0%	3.7%	10.4%	20.2%
	1=Poor	8.2%	6.7%	13.3%	4.4%
	2				
Other administrative staff and offices	3	8.1%	5.6%	9.5%	13.7%
(registry, finance, etc.)	4	16.9%	16.5%	22.0%	9.1%
	5	21.9%	23.7%	14.8%	28.7%
	6	18.8%	15.2%	22.8%	23.7%
	7=Excellent	20.1%	28.7%	5.3%	18.4%
Supportive Environment	Responses	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
	N/ Pivi	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	Very little	4.3%	1.7%	6.7%	7.6%
Providing support to help students succeed	Some	27.6% 34.2%	21.9% 33.8%	36.8%	28.8% 32.1%
academically	Quite a bit			36.2%	
	Very much	33.9%	42.5%	20.3%	31.5%
Using learning support services (learning	Very little	12.8%	8.7%	13.1%	24.0%
centre, computer centre, maths support,	Some	26.8%	24.6%	33.1%	23.0%
writing support etc.)	Quite a bit	33.2%	31.6%	34.5%	35.5%
	Very much	27.2%	35.1%	19.3%	17.6%
Contact among students from different	Very little	5.7%	3.0%	3.7%	17.1%
backgrounds (social, racial/ethnic, religious,	Some	22.5%	16.0%	25.2%	36.9%
etc.)	Quite a bit	24.8%	19.3%	34.1%	25.4%
•	Very much	47.0%	61.7%	36.9%	20.6%
	Very little	9.7%	4.4%	6.7%	30.1%
Providing opportunities to be involved socially	Some	26.1%	20.7%	32.5%	31.3%
7	Quite a bit	31.8%	30.4%	42.2%	18.6%
	Very much	32.4%	44.5%	18.6%	20.1%
	Very little	15.3%	5.9%	19.2%	36.4%
Providing support for your overall well-being	Some	25.3%	23.0%	31.1%	22.7%
(recreation, health care, counselling, etc.)	Quite a bit	33.0%	33.1%	33.4%	31.8%
	Very much	26.4%	38.0%	16.3%	9.0%
	Very little	39.0%	31.1%	42.8%	55.7%
Helping you manage your non-academic	Some	31.1%	30.1%	34.1%	28.6%
responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	Quite a bit	16.3%	18.8%	13.2%	13.9%
	Very much	13.7%	20.0%	9.8%	1.7%
Attending campus activities and events	Very little	12.6%	7.2%	9.5%	33.7%
(special speakers, cultural performances,	Some	26.7%	17.8%	37.9%	34.5%
sporting events, etc.)	Quite a bit	34.9%	36.8%	36.4%	26.6%
1 0,,	Very much	25.8%	38.3%	16.2%	5.1%
	Very little	22.3%	15.6%	25.9%	36.2%
Attending events that address important	Some	36.1%	32.8%	44.4%	32.1%
social, economic, or political issues	Quite a bit	25.2%	26.9%	22.5%	24.9%
	Very much	16.3%	24.7%	7.2%	6.8%

Non-indicator items	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Asked questions or contributed to discussions	Never	10.1%	14.5%	6.9%	2.1%
	Sometimes	39.2%	43.4%	37.9%	28.8%
in class, tutorials, labs or online	Often	31.8%	32.4%	32.4%	28.8%
	Very often	18.9%	9.8%	22.7%	40.3%
	Never	27.0%	21.6%	23.2%	51.1%
Come to class without completing readings or	Sometimes	43.8%	46.7%	45.2%	32.2%
assignments	Often	18.8%	19.5%	19.3%	15.3%
	Very often	10.5%	12.2%	12.3%	1.5%
	Never	6.6%	3.9%	4.3%	19.3%
	Sometimes	55.9%	64.6%	44.1%	50.9%
Made a presentation in class or online	Often	26.0%	24.7%	30.3%	22.1%
	Very often	11.5%	6.8%	21.4%	7.7%
	Never	5.5%	8.1%	3.2%	1.6%
Improved knowledge and skills that will	Sometimes	27.3%	34.2%	18.0%	22.0%
contribute to your employability	Often	38.3%	37.1%	38.5%	41.5%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Very often	28.9%	20.7%	40.3%	34.9%
	Never	11.0%	16.6%	4.5%	5.0%
Explored how to apply your learning in the	Sometimes	29.3%	38.4%	23.8%	10.9%
workplace	Often	35.6%	31.9%	35.7%	46.5%
	Very often	24.1%	13.0%	36.0%	37.6%
	Never	15.2%	12.1%	13.5%	27.2%
Exercised or participated in physical fitness	Sometimes	37.7%	37.1%	36.7%	41.1%
activities	Often	21.9%	25.7%	22.2%	9.7%
delivities	Very often	25.3%	25.1%	27.6%	22.0%
	Never	13.7%	22.8%	2.3%	5.0%
Blended academic learning with workplace	Sometimes	35.6%	47.0%	23.8%	20.6%
experience	Often	28.2%	19.7%	40.8%	33.3%
CAPETICITE	Very often	22.5%	10.5%	33.1%	41.0%
	Never	11.8%	10.5%	9.9%	19.1%
Worked an assessments that informed you	Sometimes	43.7%	47.9%	35.6%	44.2%
Worked on assessments that informed you how well you are learning	Often	33.1%	31.5%	39.6%	27.2%
now wen you are learning		11.4%	10.1%	14.9%	9.5%
	Very often Very little	9.3%	4.6%	1.0%	37.9%
	· · · · ·	13.8%	11.8%	6.4%	32.2%
Memorising course material	Some	27.5%	30.0%	25.8%	22.7%
	Quite a bit	49.4%	53.6%	66.7%	7.2%
	Very much Have not	13.4%	14.8%	10.2%	14.7%
Mank with and one is shaff an a good one	decided Do not plan	16.8%	9.4%	26.6%	23.0%
Work with academic staff on a research project	to do				
project	Plan to do	45.2%	68.0%	10.1%	34.9%
	Done or in progress	24.6%	7.7%	53.1%	27.4%
	Have not decided	14.1%	10.7%	15.2%	22.7%
Community service or volunteer work	Do not plan to do	20.2%	4.6%	35.9%	41.6%
,	Plan to do	36.0%	52.8%	15.2%	19.4%
	Done or in progress	29.8%	32.0%	33.7%	16.3%

Non-indicator items (continued)	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	1.6%	2.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Spending significant amounts of time studying	Some	8.1%	5.2%	8.7%	15.6%
and on academic work	Quite a bit	30.9%	27.9%	30.4%	40.6%
	Very much	59.3%	64.9%	60.8%	40.4%
	Very little	15.8%	20.9%	12.4%	5.6%
Writing clearly and effectively	Some	36.8%	42.6%	33.2%	25.1%
writing clearly and effectively	Quite a bit	29.3%	26.8%	29.0%	37.8%
	Very much	18.0%	9.7%	25.3%	31.5%
	Very little	7.9%	8.5%	2.9%	14.5%
Charling clearly and offertively	Some	30.2%	34.8%	20.9%	31.7%
Speaking clearly and effectively	Quite a bit	36.2%	34.5%	35.3%	42.9%
	Very much	25.6%	22.1%	41.0%	10.9%
	Very little	3.8%	5.6%	1.4%	2.0%
Thinking minimally and analytically	Some	18.5%	22.6%	15.2%	10.9%
Thinking critically and analytically	Quite a bit	40.0%	40.9%	37.1%	41.9%
	Very much	37.8%	30.9%	46.2%	45.2%
	Very little	19.7%	19.5%	17.6%	24.1%
Analysing numerical and statistical	Some	34.5%	39.4%	31.8%	23.6%
information	Quite a bit	27.4%	24.6%	29.3%	33.0%
	Very much	18.4%	16.5%	21.2%	19.3%
	Very little	7.8%	9.0%	3.5%	10.9%
Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and	Some	19.5%	24.6%	12.4%	15.5%
skills	Quite a bit	32.9%	30.9%	32.1%	40.1%
	Very much	39.9%	35.5%	52.0%	33.5%
	Very little	4.7%	3.6%	3.9%	9.1%
NA 1: 66 1: 1 1:1	Some	16.3%	19.2%	10.3%	17.0%
Working effectively with others	Quite a bit	41.9%	41.8%	41.3%	42.9%
	Very much	37.2%	35.3%	44.5%	31.0%
	Very little	12.0%	13.4%	11.3%	9.1%
	Some	26.4%	32.1%	18.0%	22.6%
Solving complex real-world problems	Quite a bit	31.8%	30.4%	30.1%	38.8%
	Very much	29.8%	24.1%	40.6%	29.5%
	Very little	21.4%	19.0%	24.8%	23.1%
Being an informed and active citizen (societal	Some	37.1%	40.6%	39.9%	21.8%
/ political / community)	Quite a bit	26.1%	25.1%	22.3%	35.8%
	Very much	15.4%	15.3%	13.1%	19.3%
	Poor	2.7%	2.0%	5.5%	0.0%
How would you evaluate your entire	Fair	9.4%	8.9%	12.4%	5.8%
educational experience at this institution?	Good	40.1%	40.8%	42.1%	34.5%
	Excellent	47.9%	48.3%	39.9%	59.8%
	Definitely no	4.4%	3.3%	9.2%	0.0%
If you could start over again, would you go to	Probably no	7.7%	6.8%	10.7%	5.7%
the same institution you are now attending?	Probably yes	39.9%	41.5%	38.8%	36.7%
	Definitely yes	48.1%	48.5%	41.4%	57.6%