## THE IRISH SURVEY OF STUDENT ENGAGEMENT (ISSE)

# **Institution name: University College Dublin**

## **Results of ISSE 2018**

#### A: Context

Development and implementation of the Irish Survey of Student Engagement is driven by the intention to inform, support and encourage quality enhancement. The survey takes place each February – March and is open to first year undergraduates, final year undergraduates, and postgraduate taught students. Twenty seven institutions participated in 2018.

The ISSE reflects many aspects of students' experiences in higher education. It is designed to focus on student engagement, namely the amount of time and effort that students put into meaningful and purposeful educational activities and the extent to which institutions provide such opportunities and encourage students to engage with them. The data collected reflects students' self-reported perceptions of their experiences and this data set is one of many sources of evidence available to institutions to inform their enhancement activities.

The ISSE national steering group regards the comprehensive nature of data gathered as a key strength. Interpretation of detailed results requires appreciation of the local context. This means that staff and students within individual institutions are best placed to own and to interrogate institution-level data. Accordingly, any queries about these data should be directed to the individual institution in question. Institutions are committed to interpreting and utilising ISSE data to enhance the experiences of their students and do not support the use of student engagement results for any overly simplistic purpose that could be perceived as ranking institutions.

To aid navigation of the data, responses for many of the individual questions may be combined to create related indicator scores. These indicators scores act as "signposts" and are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60. Calculation of these scores is explained in the introductory section of the national report. No single indicator reflects the complex dimensions of student behaviour and institutional performance. Comparison of individual scores across institutions is inappropriate given that the differences with respect to mission, resources, profile and response rates. Comparison of indicator scores for various disciplines (for example, section 3.6 of the national report) illustrates the notable variation that exists between fields of study at national level. The proportion of students studying particular disciplines also influences the overall results for each institution.

The following tables provide percentage responses by year / cohort, weighted at institutional level, and the calculated score (out of 60) for each indicator.

Any queries relating to data for individual institutions should be referred to the institution in question. If there are any queries about these results, please contact <a href="mailto:institutional.research@ucd.ie">institutional.research@ucd.ie</a>

### **B:** Indicator scores (out of 60)

Many questions contribute to engagement indicators, as shown in section C. Indicator scores are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60 using responses to the contributing question items.

Indicator	Indicator score (all
	respondents)
Higher Order Learning	38.0
Reflective and Integrative Learning	32.5
Quantitative Reasoning	22.2
Learning Strategies	32.6
Collaborative Learning	30.8
Student-Faculty Interaction	13.2
Effective Teaching Practices	34.0
Quality of Interactions	39.4
Supportive Environment	30.4

### C: Responses to individual questions

Higher Order Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	5.8	6.7	7.8	3.0
Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical	Some	22.3	23.3	23.0	20.3
problems or new situations	Quite a bit	43.3	43.2	41.2	45.2
	Very much	28.5	26.8	27.9	31.4
	Very little	6.7	8.4	7.6	3.7
Analysing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning	Some	25.6	27.8	27.8	20.9
in depth by examining its parts	Quite a bit	40.7	40.5	41.0	40.7
	Very much	27.0	23.2	23.7	34.7
	Very little	7.7	9.7	8.9	4.0
Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information	Some	26.2	29.4	26.5	21.7
source	Quite a bit	40.3	38.5	40.0	42.9
	Very much	25.8	22.3	24.7	31.4
	Very little	5.0	6.3	6.4	2.2
Forming an understanding or new idea from various pieces of information	Some	24.8	28.0	26.7	19.0
	Quite a bit	41.9	41.5	42.5	42.1
	Very much	28.2	24.3	24.3	36.7

Reflective and Integrative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Combined ideas from different subjects / modules	Never	5.5	7.6	3.9	4.0
	Sometimes	32.0	35.6	30.8	28.2
when completing assignments	Often	41.5	40.6	41.1	42.9
	Very often	21.0	16.2	24.1	24.9
	Never	13.7	16.6	15.3	8.4
Connected your learning to problems or issues in	Sometimes	37.5	41.7	37.3	32.1
society	Often	32.4	29.4	32.1	36.5
	Very often	16.5	12.3	15.4	23.0
	Never	30.0	35.0	29.6	23.7
Included diverse perspectives (political, religious,	Sometimes	38.0	38.8	39.4	35.8
racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in discussions or assignments	Often	22.4	20.1	21.2	26.5
	Very often	9.6	6.1	9.9	13.9
	Never	9.9	12.1	11.7	5.6
Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your	Sometimes	39.2	43.9	37.7	34.2
own views on a topic or issue	Often	37.5	33.6	38.1	42.3
	Very often	13.3	10.4	12.5	17.9
	Never	6.3	8.3	5.9	4.1
Tried to better understand someone else's views	Sometimes	39.5	41.9	39.9	35.9
by imagining how an issue looks from their perspective	Often	38.0	37.0	37.0	40.0
_	Very often	16.2	12.8	17.2	20.0
	Never	2.4	3.1	2.6	1.2
Learned something that changed the way you	Sometimes	33.0	34.9	36.3	27.9
understand an issue or concept?	Often	45.4	45.3	43.3	47.1
	Very often	19.3	16.8	17.7	23.8
	Never	2.3	2.5	3.2	1.4
Connected ideas from your subjects / modules to	Sometimes	27.0	32.0	30.0	17.9
your prior experiences and knowledge	Often	43.1	42.0	44.7	43.3
	Very often	27.6	23.5	22.1	37.4

Quantitative Reasoning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	23.4	27.7	19.6	20.9
Reached conclusions based on your analysis of	Sometimes	38.3	39.5	36.7	37.8
numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.)	Often	26.7	24.7	28.8	27.7
	Very often	11.6	8.1	14.9	13.6
	Never	31.7	35.4	28.5	29.2
Used numerical information to examine a real-	Sometimes	37.2	36.2	38.2	37.7
world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health, etc.)	Often	21.9	21.3	22.4	22.4
change, pashe fredien, etc.)	Very often	9.2	7.1	10.9	10.7
	Never	33.1	37.2	29.1	30.8
Evaluated what others have concluded from	Sometimes	41.1	42.2	42.2	38.8
numerical information	Often	19.9	17.1	21.0	22.7
	Very often	5.9	3.5	7.7	7.8

Learning Strategies	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	7.5	10.6	7.6	3.3
Identified key information from recommended	Sometimes	35.5	41.5	36.0	27.0
reading materials	Often	39.7	35.8	39.4	45.2
	Very often	17.3	12.1	16.9	24.5
	Never	7.4	7.0	10.4	5.5
Reviewed your notes after class	Sometimes	39.0	41.2	37.0	37.7
Reviewed your notes after class	Often	36.2	34.6	35.7	38.8
	Very often	17.4	17.2	16.9	18.1
	Never	8.6	8.5	10.2	7.4
Summarised what you learned in class or from	Sometimes	38.9	40.0	36.0	39.9
course materials	Often	37.2	36.6	38.1	37.4
	Very often	15.2	14.9	15.8	15.3

Collaborative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	12.4	12.2	11.6	13.3
Asked another student to help you understand	Sometimes	47.2	44.9	44.3	52.7
course material	Often	28.2	29.6	29.5	25.3
	Very often	12.1	13.2	14.7	8.7
	Never	6.4	7.1	5.9	5.9
Evaluinad course meterial to one or more students	Sometimes	46.6	48.7	42.9	46.8
Explained course material to one or more students	Often	32.5	31.1	33.8	33.3
	Very often	14.5	13.0	17.4	14.0
	Never	17.6	19.2	14.7	18.0
Prepared for exams by discussing or working	Sometimes	36.3	40.5	32.8	33.4
through course material with other students	Often	27.8	24.9	30.0	29.9
	Very often	18.3	15.3	22.5	18.7
	Never	9.3	9.7	6.6	10.9
Worked with other students on projects or assignments	Sometimes	32.3	36.6	32.6	26.4
	Often	32.6	35.3	32.9	28.7
	Very often	25.8	18.4	27.9	34.0

Student-Faculty Interaction	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	53.8	69.6	43.6	40.6
Talked about career plans with academic staff	Sometimes	31.0	21.5	38.0	38.3
Talked about career plans with academic stan	Often	11.0	6.7	13.2	15.0
	Very often	4.2	2.3	5.3	6.0
Worked with academic staff on activities other	Never	67.8	76.6	63.1	59.6
	Sometimes	21.5	15.8	24.3	27.0
than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.)	Often	7.9	5.4	9.1	10.4
Citally Citally	Very often	2.8	2.1	3.5	3.1
	Never	43.8	57.1	40.8	28.1
Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with	Sometimes	37.3	29.8	38.7	46.6
academic staff outside of class	Often	14.1	9.8	15.4	18.8
	Very often	4.8	3.3	5.2	6.4
	Never	44.5	56.5	38.7	32.9
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Sometimes	39.6	32.9	42.6	46.2
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Often	12.7	8.5	14.9	16.6
	Very often	3.2	2.1	3.8	4.3

Effective Teaching Practices	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	4.3	4.3	4.8	3.8
	Some	24.8	25.7	27.3	21.7
Clearly explained course goals and requirements	Quite a bit	44.1	44.9	44.9	42.2
	Very much	26.8	25.1	23.0	32.3
	Very little	3.4	2.6	4.7	3.6
Taught in an organised way	Some	24.1	23.7	27.5	21.7
raught in an organised way	Quite a bit	45.9	48.4	46.8	41.8
	Very much	26.6	25.3	21.0	32.9
	Very little	3.0	3.1	3.9	2.2
Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult	Some	20.7	20.3	24.8	17.9
points	Quite a bit	42.5	44.0	40.9	42.0
	Very much	33.7	32.6	30.4	37.9
	Very little	27.3	30.2	30.2	20.9
Broyided feedback on a draft or work in progress	Some	35.0	36.8	36.2	31.4
Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	Quite a bit	23.8	22.0	22.9	27.1
	Very much	13.9	10.9	10.7	20.6
	Very little	25.7	24.7	31.3	22.5
Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments	Some	34.6	38.5	34.3	29.6
	Quite a bit	25.3	23.6	24.3	28.5
	Very much	14.4	13.2	10.1	19.5

Quality of Interactions	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	1=Poor	1.7	1.6	2.2	1.5
	2	2.0	2.4	1.9	1.4
	3	5.2	5.2	4.7	5.6
Students	4	11.9	13.6	12.1	9.4
	5	21.4	21.3	24.6	18.7
	6	23.1	22.7	21.9	24.7
	7=Excellent	34.7	33.1	32.7	38.7
	1=Poor	5.2	4.1	8.7	3.8
	2	6.3	7.1	7.1	4.5
	3	12.8	14.9	15.7	7.3
Academic advisors	4	17.6	19.5	20.0	13.0
	5	21.5	22.9	20.9	20.3
	6	17.7	16.1	15.0	22.1
	7=Excellent	18.9	15.3	12.6	29.0
	1=Poor	3.6	3.1	5.4	2.8
	2	5.3	7.2	5.9	2.5
	3	10.4	13.0	12.4	5.3
Academic staff	4	16.7	19.5	18.0	11.8
	5	22.4	21.9	23.8	21.9
	6	20.8	19.2	19.7	23.7
	7=Excellent	20.8	16.1	14.9	32.0

Quality of Interactions	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	1=Poor	6.1	4.3	7.6	7.2
	2	6.8	6.9	9.2	4.5
Comment on the staff / comment on the standard	3	12.0	11.3	15.6	9.7
Support services staff (career services, student activities, accommodation, etc.)	4	16.5	18.1	17.5	13.3
detivities, accommodation, etc.,	5	20.7	21.3	20.7	20.0
	6	18.3	19.4	15.4	19.3
	7=Excellent	19.5	18.6	14.1	25.8
	1=Poor	5.5	4.6	8.2	4.6
	2	7.3	6.5	10.7	5.4
Other administrative staff and affice (or sixty)	3	10.5	10.2	12.6	9.0
Other administrative staff and offices (registry, finance, etc.)	4	16.0	17.7	17.0	12.9
mance, etc.,	5	21.9	23.8	22.6	18.7
	6	18.0	18.9	13.8	20.5
	7=Excellent	20.8	18.4	15.0	28.9

Supportive Environment	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	7.9	5.9	10.7	8.2
Providing support to help students succeed	Some	31.6	26.3	38.0	33.5
academically	Quite a bit	39.7	42.4	35.5	39.7
	Very much	20.8	25.5	15.8	18.6
	Very little	10.5	7.6	12.4	12.8
Using learning support services (learning centre,	Some	25.9	18.7	31.2	31.3
computer centre, maths support, writing support etc.)	Quite a bit	36.0	36.9	35.5	35.3
etc.,	Very much	27.5	36.8	20.9	20.6
	Very little	25.1	22.7	32.5	22.2
Contact among students from different	Some	32.3	32.1	34.5	30.8
backgrounds (social, racial/ethnic, religious, etc.)	Quite a bit	26.8	28.8	22.2	27.8
	Very much	15.8	16.5	10.8	19.1
	Very little	12.0	8.8	12.5	15.7
Draviding appartunities to be involved socially	Some	29.3	23.5	29.8	36.5
Providing opportunities to be involved socially	Quite a bit	35.8	37.7	37.0	32.2
	Very much	23.0	30.0	20.7	15.6
	Very little	14.2	10.2	16.4	17.6
Providing support for your overall well-being	Some	31.8	28.2	32.7	35.8
(recreation, health care, counselling, etc.)	Quite a bit	34.5	37.2	33.6	31.7
	Very much	19.5	24.3	17.4	14.9
	Very little	40.2	35.9	46.4	41.0
Helping you manage your non-academic	Some	34.9	36.3	32.9	34.8
responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	Quite a bit	17.4	19.3	15.6	16.4
	Very much	7.4	8.6	5.1	7.9
	Very little	11.6	8.9	12.7	14.2
Attending campus activities and events (special speakers, cultural performances, sporting events,	Some	32.9	27.8	36.5	36.6
etc.)	Quite a bit	36.4	39.1	36.5	32.8
	Very much	19.1	24.2	14.3	16.3
	Very little	16.9	13.4	19.0	20.0
Attending events that address important social,	Some	36.7	33.9	40.5	37.2
economic, or political issues	Quite a bit	30.9	35.0	28.0	27.8
	Very much	15.5	17.7	12.5	15.0

Non-indicator items	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	7.8	10.8	8.3	3.3
Asked questions or contributed to discussions in	Sometimes	41.5	47.9	46.5	29.0
class, tutorials, labs or online	Often	30.0	27.4	26.1	36.7
	Very often	20.7	13.9	19.1	31.0
	Never	25.3	25.4	19.7	29.7
Come to class without completing readings or	Sometimes	50.1	48.5	47.6	54.3
assignments	Often	17.0	18.6	20.9	11.7
	Very often	7.6	7.5	11.9	4.3
	Never	21.8	31.2	14.6	15.4
Mada a procentation in class or online	Sometimes	42.0	44.0	46.2	36.1
Made a presentation in class or online	Often	23.5	18.2	24.8	29.5
	Very often	12.6	6.7	14.4	19.0
	Never	6.3	8.9	6.6	2.5
Improved knowledge and skills that will contribute	Sometimes	30.5	36.2	30.5	22.8
to your employability	Often	40.8	38.7	39.6	44.7
	Very often	22.4	16.3	23.3	30.1
	Never	24.4	34.2	25.9	9.8
Explored how to apply your learning in the	Sometimes	32.7	34.3	34.3	29.0
workplace	Often	27.9	20.6	25.4	40.1
·	Very often	15.0	10.8	14.4	21.1
	Never	20.6	20.3	15.4	25.2
Exercised or participated in physical fitness	Sometimes	28.5	25.9	29.2	31.5
activities	Often	23.0	24.1	24.2	20.4
	Very often	27.9	29.6	31.1	22.9
	Never	32.2	46.1	28.8	16.1
Blended academic learning with workplace	Sometimes	28.3	27.5	31.4	27.0
experience	Often	23.6	16.8	24.0	32.8
·	Very often	15.8	9.7	15.9	24.1
	Never	20.5	20.2	24.7	17.3
Worked on assessments that informed you how	Sometimes	42.6	43.6	43.2	40.7
well you are learning	Often	28.8	28.1	25.3	32.7
,	Very often	8.2	8.1	6.8	9.4
	Very little	17.3	14.5	10.5	26.8
	Some	33.9	32.9	29.7	38.7
Memorising course material	Quite a bit	32.3	35.4	35.6	25.2
	Very much	16.5	17.2	24.2	9.3
	Have not decided	29.2	45.6	15.4	18.4
	Do not plan to do	27.3	19.3	41.5	26.4
Work with academic staff on a research project	Plan to do	27.2	32.5	13.5	31.3
	Done or in progress	16.4	2.7	29.6	23.8
	Have not decided	22.5	23.5	18.3	24.6
	Do not plan to do	26.4	14.1	35.2	35.7
Community service or volunteer work	Plan to do	32.8	50.1	17.5	21.8
	Done or in progress	18.4	12.3	28.9	18.0
		2.9	3.0	3.2	2.5
Spanding cignificant appropriate of the actual in a	Very little Some	2.9	24.7	16.1	18.4
Spending significant amounts of time studying and on academic work	Quite a bit		48.8		50.6
on academic work		49.0		47.4	
	Very much	27.7	23.5	33.3	28.5

Non-indicator items	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Writing clearly and effectively	Very little	14.1	18.8	12.2	9.3
	Some	29.6	35.5	23.9	26.4
	Quite a bit	36.0	31.4	37.7	40.7
	Very much	20.4	14.3	26.2	23.5
Speaking clearly and effectively	Very little	16.4	21.4	14.5	11.1
	Some	31.0	37.5	24.9	27.5
	Quite a bit	34.5	29.1	39.6	37.5
	Very much	18.1	12.0	21.0	23.9
Thinking critically and analytically	Very little	3.6	4.6	2.3	3.2
	Some	18.9	22.7	13.7	18.2
	Quite a bit	40.0	42.5	39.9	36.6
	Very much	37.5	30.2	44.1	42.0
Analysing numerical and statistical information	Very little	20.6	23.2	16.9	20.2
	Some	29.7	30.7	27.1	30.6
	Quite a bit	29.5	29.6	29.7	29.1
	Very much	20.2	16.5	26.3	20.1
Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and skills	Very little	15.7	19.9	15.8	10.0
	Some	29.2	32.4	29.1	24.9
	Quite a bit	31.2	27.8	32.5	34.6
	Very much	23.9	19.9	22.5	30.4
Working effectively with others	Very little	7.1	7.1	6.2	7.9
	Some	27.1	30.1	25.3	24.6
	Quite a bit	37.9	37.3	41.3	36.0
	Very much	27.8	25.5	27.2	31.5
Solving complex real-world problems	Very little	15.7	18.5	17.4	10.4
	Some	32.6	36.4	30.2	29.5
	Quite a bit	31.3	27.6	32.8	35.1
	Very much	20.4	17.5	19.7	25.0
Being an informed and active citizen (societal / political / community)	Very little	22.0	23.5	25.2	17.3
	Some	33.1	34.5	31.3	32.5
	Quite a bit	28.3	26.7	27.2	31.3
	Very much	16.7	15.3	16.2	18.9
How would you evaluate your entire educational experience at this institution?	Poor	2.0	1.2	2.7	2.5
	Fair	11.9	10.6	13.6	12.3
	Good	49.6	50.6	49.8	48.1
	Excellent	36.5	37.6	33.9	37.1
If you could start over again, would you go to the same institution you are now attending?	Definitely no	2.1	1.4	2.3	2.8
	Probably no	8.3	6.0	12.2	8.1
	Probably yes	38.4	35.6	39.8	41.1
	Definitely yes	51.2	56.9	45.7	48.0