StudentSurvey.ie (Irish Survey of Student Engagement) Technological University Dublin City Campuses

Results of StudentSurvey.ie 2019

A: Context

Development and implementation of the Irish Survey of Student Engagement is driven by the intention to inform, support and encourage quality enhancement. The survey takes place each February – March and is open to first year undergraduates, final year undergraduates, and postgraduate taught students. Twenty seven institutions participated in 2019.

StudentSurvey.ie reflects many aspects of students' experiences in higher education. It is designed to focus on student engagement, namely the amount of time and effort that students put into meaningful and purposeful educational activities and the extent to which institutions provide such opportunities and encourage students to engage with them. The data collected reflects students' self-reported perceptions of their experiences and this data set is one of many sources of evidence available to institutions to inform their enhancement activities.

StudentSurvey.ie national steering group regards the comprehensive nature of data gathered as a key strength. Interpretation of detailed results requires appreciation of the local context. This means that staff and students within individual institutions are best placed to own and to interrogate institution-level data. Accordingly, any queries about these data should be directed to the individual institution in question. Institutions are committed to interpreting and utilising StudentSurvey.ie data to enhance the experiences of their students and do not support the use of student engagement results for any overly simplistic purpose that could be perceived as ranking institutions.

To aid navigation of the data, responses for many of the individual questions may be combined to create related indicator scores. These indicators scores act as "signposts" and are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60. Calculation of these scores is explained in the introductory section of the national report. No single indicator reflects the complex dimensions of student behaviour and institutional performance. Comparison of individual scores across institutions is inappropriate given that the differences with respect to mission, resources, profile and response rates. Comparison of indicator scores for various disciplines in the national report illustrates the notable variation that exists between fields of study at national level. The proportion of students studying particular disciplines also influences the overall results for each institution.

The following tables provide percentage responses by year/ cohort, weighted at institutional level, and the calculated score (out of 60) for each indicator.

Any queries relating to data for individual institutions should be referred to the institution in question. If there are any queries about these results, please contact studentsurvey|E@tudublin.ie

B: Indicator scores (out of 60)

Many questions contribute to engagement indicators, as shown in section C. Indicator scores are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60 using responses to the contributing question items.

Indicator	Indicator score (all
	respondents)
Higher Order Learning	35.1
Reflective and Integrative Learning	29.3
Quantitative Reasoning	20.9
Learning Strategies	29.4
Collaborative Learning	32.6
Student-Faculty Interaction	13.9
Effective Teaching Practices	34.0
Quality of Interactions	37.2
Supportive Environment	25.3

C: Responses to individual questions

Higher Order Learning	Decree	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	Very little	6.3	7.1	6.7	3.5
Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical	Some	28.3	30.9	28.1	22.1
problems or new situations	Quite a bit	42.7	41.3	42.2	47.5
	Very much	22.6	20.7	23.0	26.8
	Very little	8.2	9.9	8.3	3.3
Analysing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning	Some	33.1	37.2	32.1	24.4
in depth by examining its parts	Quite a bit	38.5	36.3	38.7	44.1
	Very much	20.2	16.6	20.9	28.3
	Very little	7.8	8.4	9.0	3.4
Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information	Some	33.3	37.9	32.0	24.2
source	Quite a bit	40.1	39.4	38.9	44.4
	Very much	18.8	14.3	20.1	28.0
	Very little	6.3	6.5	6.5	5.7
Forming an understanding or new idea from	Some	30.6	34.4	30.1	21.5
various pieces of information	Quite a bit	41.8	41.6	41.3	43.4
	Very much	21.3	17.4	22.1	29.4

Reflective and Integrative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Combined ideas from different subjects / modules when completing assignments	Never	5.9	8.3	4.0	3.1
	Sometimes	38.9	43.7	36.2	31.3
	Often	39.5	36.1	41.2	45.7
	Very often	15.7	12.0	18.6	19.9
	Never	20.9	26.7	18.2	10.4
Connected your learning to problems or issues in	Sometimes	42.9	45.4	41.6	39.0
society	Often	26.6	21.0	29.3	36.8
	Very often	9.5	7.0	10.9	13.8
	Never	38.4	41.5	38.1	30.3
Included diverse perspectives (political, religious,	Sometimes	37.4	38.5	35.2	38.8
racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in discussions or assignments	Often	17.9	15.0	19.3	22.9
assigninents	Very often	6.4	5.0	7.5	7.9
	Never	12.0	14.2	11.5	6.8
Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your	Sometimes	42.9	45.0	43.2	36.4
own views on a topic or issue	Often	34.6	31.8	35.4	40.5
	Very often	10.6	9.0	10.0	16.3
	Never	9.0	11.1	7.7	5.6
Tried to better understand someone else's views	Sometimes	40.6	41.7	41.1	36.2
by imagining how an issue looks from their perspective	Often	37.6	34.6	39.4	42.3
perspective	Very often	12.9	12.6	11.8	16.0
	Never	5.2	6.0	5.0	3.4
Learned something that changed the way you	Sometimes	38.8	40.3	40.0	32.0
understand an issue or concept?	Often	43.0	42.8	41.5	46.6
	Very often	13.0	10.9	13.5	18.0
	Never	3.6	4.2	3.7	2.0
Connected ideas from your subjects / modules to	Sometimes	33.0	35.7	32.9	25.6
your prior experiences and knowledge	Often	43.5	42.8	44.0	44.4
	Very often	19.9	17.4	19.4	28.1

Quantitative Reasoning	Dosnancos	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Quantitative Reasoning	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	Never	19.9	22.8	17.1	18.1
Reached conclusions based on your analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics,	Sometimes	42.0	42.3	43.3	38.7
etc.)	Often	27.8	27.2	27.4	30.4
etc.)	Very often	10.2	7.7	12.2	12.8
	Never	36.5	41.8	32.1	31.1
Used numerical information to examine a real-	Sometimes	38.9	38.8	39.5	37.6
world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health, etc.)	Often	18.7	15.4	21.5	22.0
change, public recurry etc.)	Very often	5.9	4.0	6.8	9.3
	Never	34.4	37.1	31.0	34.0
Evaluated what others have concluded from	Sometimes	43.7	44.9	44.0	39.7
numerical information	Often	18.3	15.4	20.5	21.8
	Very often	3.6	2.6	4.5	4.4

Leavaina Strategies	Dosnancos	All	Undergrad -	Undergrad -	Postgrad
Learning Strategies	Responses	Students	Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Never	11.5	14.8	10.7	4.0
Identified key information from recommended	Sometimes	42.7	47.8	41.3	32.1
reading materials	Often	35.0	29.7	36.5	46.5
	Very often	10.8	7.7	11.5	17.5
	Never	9.4	9.4	11.0	6.0
Davioused your pates often class	Sometimes	43.6	45.7	42.7	39.8
Reviewed your notes after class	Often	33.6	33.0	32.1	38.3
	Very often	13.4	11.9	14.2	15.8
	Never	10.5	10.8	11.3	7.9
Summarised what you learned in class or from	Sometimes	44.9	45.6	45.3	42.2
course materials	Often	34.6	33.9	34.0	37.4
	Very often	10.1	9.7	9.3	12.6

Collaborative Learning	Pernances	All	Undergrad -	Undergrad -	Postgrad
	Responses	Students	Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Never	9.0	7.7	8.7	13.4
Asked another student to help you understand	Sometimes	45.9	46.0	43.1	52.0
course material	Often	32.0	33.2	33.2	26.3
	Very often	13.0	13.2	15.1	8.3
	Never	5.7	5.6	4.0	9.7
For the last of the same of th	Sometimes	45.2	46.5	42.1	48.5
Explained course material to one or more students	Often	35.4	35.1	37.6	31.6
	Very often	13.6	12.8	16.3	10.3
	Never	16.4	18.3	13.8	16.7
Prepared for exams by discussing or working	Sometimes	35.5	37.7	31.0	38.7
through course material with other students	Often	30.7	29.3	33.1	29.5
	Very often	17.4	14.7	22.1	15.1
	Never	6.6	6.1	5.5	10.3
Worked with other students on projects or	Sometimes	24.2	25.7	19.5	29.8
assignments	Often	34.6	41.1	27.9	30.5
	Very often	34.6	27.1	47.1	29.4

Student-Faculty Interaction	Responses	All	Undergrad -	Undergrad -	Postgrad
	Responses	Students	Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Never	51.9	57.6	42.9	55.4
Talkad about career plans with academic staff	Sometimes	31.7	28.2	37.3	29.4
Talked about career plans with academic staff	Often	12.7	11.2	15.7	10.8
	Very often	3.7	3.0	4.2	4.3
Worked with academic staff on activities other	Never	67.5	70.3	63.2	68.8
	Sometimes	21.9	20.2	24.2	22.0
than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.)	Often	8.4	7.6	9.4	8.2
ctc.)	Very often	2.2	1.9	3.2	1.1
	Never	43.4	50.2	39.1	34.0
Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with	Sometimes	38.1	34.2	39.9	44.6
academic staff outside of class	Often	14.1	12.2	15.8	15.7
	Very often	4.5	3.5	5.2	5.7
	Never	38.4	42.4	34.3	35.9
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Sometimes	41.6	39.3	43.0	44.8
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Often	15.8	14.8	17.7	14.4
	Very often	4.3	3.5	5.0	5.0

Effective Teaching Practices	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	5.0	5.5	5.1	3.5
Clearly avalained source goals and requirements	Some	25.8	27.5	25.6	21.6
Clearly explained course goals and requirements	Quite a bit	44.3	43.0	46.1	43.8
	Very much	24.9	23.9	23.3	31.0
	Very little	5.3	4.6	6.6	4.7
Taught in an organised way	Some	28.6	27.1	34.0	21.2
Taught in an organised way	Quite a bit	43.1	44.2	40.4	45.9
	Very much	22.9	24.1	19.0	28.2
	Very little	4.4	3.8	5.8	3.1
Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult	Some	23.2	23.4	24.9	18.9
points	Quite a bit	45.6	46.1	45.4	44.5
	Very much	26.8	26.7	23.8	33.5
	Very little	18.5	17.5	18.9	20.7
Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	Some	36.5	38.5	35.5	33.5
Provided reedback on a draft of work in progress	Quite a bit	29.6	30.1	29.4	28.6
	Very much	15.3	13.9	16.2	17.1
	Very little	20.3	19.7	21.5	19.7
Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or	Some	33.6	34.7	33.2	32.0
completed assignments	Quite a bit	30.7	30.4	30.9	31.2
	Very much	15.3	15.3	14.4	17.2

Quality of Interactions	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	1=Poor	2.3	1.7	3.0	2.1
	2	2.6	2.3	3.3	1.7
	3	6.4	6.8	6.7	4.7
Students	4	12.9	13.9	11.7	13.1
	5	21.7	21.2	22.8	20.9
	6	22.5	21.8	23.4	22.4
	7=Excellent	31.6	32.4	29.1	35.1
	1=Poor	6.3	5.4	7.5	5.9
	2	6.7	6.9	6.7	6.3
	3	13.2	14.2	14.6	7.1
Academic advisors	4	18.8	19.2	20.0	15.2
	5	22.1	22.7	21.2	22.1
	6	17.5	17.5	17.0	18.3
	7=Excellent	15.4	14.0	13.0	25.1
	1=Poor	3.5	3.0	4.5	2.6
	2	4.7	4.2	6.1	2.9
	3	10.9	11.2	12.4	7.0
Academic staff	4	18.7	20.3	19.1	13.8
	5	22.2	22.6	22.6	20.4
	6	22.2	21.2	20.9	27.6
	7=Excellent	17.8	17.6	14.4	25.8

Quality of Interactions (continued)	Posnonsos	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Quality of Interactions (continued)	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	1=Poor	10.4	7.6	13.4	11.5
	2	10.1	8.9	13.0	7.0
Comment and the state of the st	3	12.6	12.4	14.3	9.1
Support services staff (career services, student activities, accommodation, etc.)	4	18.1	20.0	17.6	13.9
activities, accommodation, etc.,	5	18.0	18.0	17.1	19.9
	6	16.6	18.0	13.1	20.6
	7=Excellent	14.2	15.2	11.4	18.1
	1=Poor	9.3	8.6	10.3	9.0
	2	9.2	8.6	11.2	6.4
	3	13.0	13.1	14.7	9.0
Other administrative staff and offices (registry, finance, etc.)	4	19.6	18.9	21.3	17.4
mance, etc.)	5	18.7	19.8	16.9	19.8
	6	16.1	17.4	13.4	18.7
	7=Excellent	14.1	13.7	12.1	19.6

Supportive Environment	Responses	All	Undergrad	Undergrad -	Postgrad
Supportive Environment	Responses	Students	- Year 1	Final Yr	taught
Providing support to help students succeed	Very little	10.1	8.1	12.3	10.8
	Some	36.3	33.2	41.2	34.1
academically	Quite a bit	38.1	40.5	34.4	39.6
	Very much	15.4	18.1	12.0	15.5
	Very little	19.5	16.9	21.6	21.9
Using learning support services (learning centre, computer centre, maths support, writing support	Some	32.7	31.1	35.5	30.9
etc.)	Quite a bit	31.9	32.8	29.9	33.9
	Very much	15.9	19.2	13.0	13.3
	Very little	26.0	21.3	32.4	25.0
Contact among students from different	Some	32.2	31.6	33.7	30.7
backgrounds (social, racial/ethnic, religious, etc.)	Quite a bit	28.3	31.1	23.8	30.6
	Very much	13.4	16.0	10.1	13.6
	Very little	20.6	15.2	24.4	27.0
Draviding apportunities to be invalued socially	Some	35.4	32.9	36.6	39.7
Providing opportunities to be involved socially	Quite a bit	30.3	34.3	28.7	22.9
	Very much	13.7	17.6	10.3	10.4
	Very little	20.6	15.2	24.6	26.4
Providing support for your overall well-being	Some	35.4	32.7	37.0	39.2
(recreation, health care, counselling, etc.)	Quite a bit	29.2	33.9	26.0	23.4
	Very much	14.9	18.2	12.5	11.0
	Very little	42.1	36.6	49.1	41.7
Helping you manage your non-academic	Some	33.2	34.4	29.9	37.2
responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	Quite a bit	18.5	21.7	16.1	15.1
	Very much	6.2	7.2	5.0	6.1
	Very little	28.0	25.7	31.6	26.7
Attending campus activities and events (special speakers, cultural performances, sporting events,	Some	36.8	33.6	39.0	40.4
etc.)	Quite a bit	25.2	28.0	22.1	24.3
333.7	Very much	10.0	12.7	7.3	8.7
	Very little	36.5	31.3	44.2	34.5
Attending events that address important social,	Some	36.0	36.1	35.0	37.6
economic, or political issues	Quite a bit	20.5	24.6	15.0	21.3
	Very much	6.9	7.9	5.8	6.6

Non-indicator items	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	8.8	11.1	8.5	2.8
Asked questions or contributed to discussions	Sometimes	42.7	46.4	43.6	30.7
in class, tutorials, labs or online	Often	30.4	28.5	30.2	35.9
	Very often	18.1	14.0	17.6	30.6
	Never	32.1	34.4	29.0	32.4
Come to class without completing readings or	Sometimes	49.0	47.6	49.4	52.1
assignments	Often	13.6	12.6	15.8	11.9
	Very often	5.2	5.4	5.8	3.5
	Never	12.8	14.6	8.6	17.0
	Sometimes	41.1	48.8	33.6	35.2
Made a presentation in class or online	Often	28.1	26.3	29.4	30.5
	Very often	18.0	10.3	28.4	17.4
	Never	5.6	7.1	4.7	3.4
Improved knowledge and skills that will	Sometimes	31.5	34.2	30.4	26.8
contribute to your employability	Often	42.0	41.8	42.2	42.2
	Very often	20.9	17.0	22.7	27.6
	Never	19.3	25.7	14.4	12.1
Explored how to apply your learning in the	Sometimes	39.9	41.4	41.3	32.9
workplace	Often	29.2	24.6	31.5	36.7
	Very often	11.6	8.3	12.8	18.3
	Never	36.8	34.9	36.0	43.4
Exercised or participated in physical fitness	Sometimes	26.7	27.9	25.9	25.0
activities	Often	18.2	18.9	18.8	14.7
	Very often	18.4	18.3	19.3	16.9
	Never	26.9	37.8	19.4	13.1
Blended academic learning with workplace	Sometimes	35.6	35.3	37.6	31.9
experience	Often	25.0	18.9	28.6	34.1
	Very often	12.5	8.0	14.5	20.9
	Never	22.0	21.4	24.0	19.3
Worked on assessments that informed you	Sometimes	41.1	42.4	41.2	37.7
how well you are learning	Often	29.3	28.5	28.4	33.0
	Very often	7.6	7.7	6.4	10.0
	Very little	13.2	10.7	11.1	24.0
	Some	34.4	37.3	28.0	40.1
Memorising course material	Quite a bit	36.8	38.9	39.7	25.5
	Very much	15.6	13.1	21.2	10.3
	Have not decided	34.0	47.8	22.4	22.0
Work with academic staff on a research	Do not plan to do	21.8	16.1	29.1	21.4
project	Plan to do	27.8	33.4	16.7	36.2
	Done or in progress	16.4	2.6	31.7	20.5
	Have not decided	31.7	36.7	25.8	31.1
	Do not plan to do	33.4	20.1	47.5	38.8
Community service or volunteer work	Plan to do	24.8	34.5	15.0	19.9
	Done or in progress	10.1	8.7	11.7	10.2
	Very little	5.3	6.3	5.0	3.2
Spending significant amounts of time	Some	29.5	34.7	24.2	26.9
studying and on academic work	Quite a bit	43.9	44.3	43.4	43.7
	Very much	21.3	14.7	27.4	26.2

Non-indicator items	Responses	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
		Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
Writing clearly and effectively	Very little	15.7	19.1	12.2	13.8
	Some	32.7	37.9	29.1	26.8
	Quite a bit	36.6	33.7	39.3	38.3
	Very much	15.0	9.2	19.4	21.1
Speaking clearly and effectively	Very little	12.5	14.2	8.8	16.0
	Some	29.8	32.1	27.5	28.4
	Quite a bit	40.3	39.8	41.2	39.9
	Very much	17.4	13.9	22.5	15.8
Thinking critically and analytically	Very little	4.7	6.4	3.8	2.0
	Some	25.0	28.4	21.1	24.1
	Quite a bit	41.9	42.7	41.2	41.4
	Very much	28.4	22.5	33.9	32.4
Analysing numerical and statistical information	Very little	17.2	17.5	16.4	17.8
	Some	31.3	32.9	31.3	27.4
	Quite a bit	33.0	34.1	31.1	33.9
	Very much	18.5	15.5	21.1	20.9
Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and skills	Very little	12.1	13.8	10.7	10.5
	Some	30.8	34.1	27.7	28.7
	Quite a bit	36.7	34.4	38.6	38.4
	Very much	20.4	17.6	22.9	22.4
Working effectively with others	Very little	6.4	6.3	4.0	11.6
	Some	22.4	22.9	19.9	26.5
	Quite a bit	41.9	42.8	41.9	39.5
	Very much	29.3	28.0	34.2	22.4
Solving complex real-world problems	Very little	16.5	18.9	15.2	12.8
	Some	35.0	36.5	31.8	37.8
	Quite a bit	32.8	31.5	33.9	34.0
	Very much	15.7	13.0	19.0	15.4
Being an informed and active citizen (societal / political / community)	Very little	28.6	30.1	28.0	25.9
	Some	35.4	36.8	35.2	32.4
	Quite a bit	26.0	25.9	24.9	28.7
	Very much	10.0	7.2	11.9	13.0
How would you evaluate your entire educational experience at this institution?	Poor	3.8	2.4	5.5	4.3
	Fair	22.1	20.6	25.9	18.3
	Good	54.8	56.7	52.4	55.1
	Excellent	19.2	20.3	16.3	22.3
If you could start over again, would you go to the same institution you are now attending?	Definitely no	5.0	2.9	7.5	5.0
	Probably no	18.2	15.0	23.5	15.4
	Probably yes	49.1	50.9	47.7	47.2
	Definitely yes	27.8	31.2	21.3	32.4
	Definitely yes	27.8	31.2	21.3	32.4