THE IRISH SURVEY OF STUDENT ENGAGEMENT (ISSE)

Institution name: National College of Art and Design

Results of ISSE 2017

A: Context

Development and implementation of the Irish Survey of Student Engagement is driven by the intention to inform, support and encourage quality enhancement. The survey takes place each February – March and is open to first year undergraduates, final year undergraduates, and postgraduate taught students. Twenty seven institutions participated in 2017.

The ISSE reflects many aspects of students' experiences in higher education. It is designed to focus on student engagement, namely the amount of time and effort that students put into meaningful and purposeful educational activities and the extent to which institutions provide such opportunities and encourage students to engage with them. The data collected reflects students' self-reported perceptions of their experiences and this data set is one of many sources of evidence available to institutions to inform their enhancement activities.

The ISSE national steering group regards the comprehensive nature of data gathered as a key strength. Interpretation of detailed results requires appreciation of the local context. This means that staff and students within individual institutions are best placed to own and to interrogate institution-level data. Accordingly, any queries about these data should be directed to the individual institution in question. Institutions are committed to interpreting and utilising ISSE data to enhance the experiences of their students and do not support the use of student engagement results for any overly simplistic purpose that could be perceived as ranking institutions.

To aid navigation of the data, responses for many of the individual questions may be combined to create related indicator scores. These indicators scores act as "signposts" and are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60. Calculation of these scores is explained in the introductory section of the national report. No single indicator reflects the complex dimensions of student behaviour and institutional performance. Comparison of individual scores across institutions is inappropriate given that the differences with respect to mission, resources, profile and response rates. Comparison of indicator scores for various disciplines (for example, section 3.6 of the national report) illustrates the notable variation that exists between fields of study at national level. The proportion of students studying particular disciplines also influences the overall results for each institution.

The following tables provide percentage responses by year / cohort, weighted at institutional level, and the calculated score (out of 60) for each indicator.

Any queries relating to data for individual institutions should be referred to the institution in question. If there are any queries about these results, please contact hanrahans@staff.ncad.ie

B: Indicator scores (out of 60)

Many questions contribute to engagement indicators, as shown in section C. Indicator scores are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60 using responses to the contributing question items.

Indicator	Indicator score (all
	respondents)
Higher Order Learning	38.7
Reflective and Integrative Learning	36.3
Quantitative Reasoning	10.0
Learning Strategies	27.7
Collaborative Learning	31.4
Student-Faculty Interaction	18.5
Effective Teaching Practices	29.7
Quality of Interactions	36.4
Supportive Environment	25.7

C: Responses to individual questions

Higher Order Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	12.5%	12.5%	12.8%	11.9%
Applying facts, theories, or methods to	Some	33.9%	33.8%	33.2%	35.4%
practical problems or new situations	Quite a bit	39.8%	41.2%	38.1%	39.1%
	Very much	13.8%	12.5%	15.9%	13.6%
	Very little	7.4%	5.5%	10.9%	6.3%
Analysing an idea, experience, or line of	Some	25.1%	23.5%	21.7%	35.1%
reasoning in depth by examining its parts	Quite a bit	29.7%	24.9%	33.7%	35.1%
	Very much	37.7%	46.2%	33.7%	23.6%
	Very little	3.2%	1.8%	5.5%	2.7%
Evaluating a point of view, decision, or	Some	24.4%	24.4%	15.9%	38.6%
information source	Quite a bit	42.8%	40.0%	47.3%	42.3%
	Very much	29.6%	33.8%	31.3%	16.3%
Forming an understanding or new idea from various pieces of information	Very little	2.3%	1.3%	3.5%	2.7%
	Some	19.6%	15.1%	13.7%	40.8%
	Quite a bit	36.4%	36.5%	35.7%	37.4%
	Very much	41.7%	47.1%	47.1%	19.1%

Reflective and Integrative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Combined ideas from different subjects /	Never	5.0%	6.6%	5.1%	0.0%
	Sometimes	35.0%	38.6%	29.5%	34.8%
modules when completing assignments	Often	38.3%	39.6%	34.3%	42.5%
	Very often	21.7%	15.2%	31.1%	22.8%
	Never	6.2%	10.7%	2.6%	0.0%
Connected your learning to problems or	Sometimes	37.2%	48.2%	19.0%	39.2%
issues in society	Often	37.1%	27.9%	48.2%	42.9%
	Very often	19.5%	13.1%	30.2%	17.9%
	Never	10.1%	13.9%	9.5%	0.0%
Included diverse perspectives (political,	Sometimes	39.9%	40.9%	32.1%	52.6%
religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in	Often	30.7%	25.3%	38.7%	31.3%
discussions or assignments	Very often	19.2%	19.9%	19.7%	16.1%
	Never	7.2%	9.5%	7.3%	0.0%
Examined the strengths and weaknesses of	Sometimes	29.5%	33.4%	17.5%	41.5%
your own views on a topic or issue	Often	44.2%	43.6%	44.7%	45.1%
,	Very often	19.1%	13.5%	30.5%	13.4%
	Never	1.8%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tried to better understand someone else's	Sometimes	29.3%	32.4%	21.0%	36.2%
views by imagining how an issue looks from	Often	42.3%	43.1%	46.3%	32.1%
their perspective	Very often	26.6%	20.8%	32.7%	31.7%
	Never	1.3%	0.9%	2.5%	0.0%
Language and a constitution where the constitution is a second se	Sometimes	34.5%	33.9%	31.7%	41.5%
Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept?	Often	45.1%	49.1%	38.1%	47.0%
	Very often	19.2%	16.2%	27.6%	11.6%
	-	1.8%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Connected ideas from your subjects /	Never Sometimes	16.3%	16.9%	20.0%	7.1%
modules to your prior experiences and		49.9%	49.0%	47.9%	56.7%
knowledge	Often	32.0%	30.6%	32.1%	36.2%
	Very often				
Quantitative Reasoning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	60.3%	64.2%	57.7%	54.5%
Reached conclusions based on your analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs,	Sometimes	27.6%	27.9%	26.1%	29.6%
statistics, etc.)	Often	9.9%	6.4%	11.9%	15.9%
	Very often	2.1%	1.5%	4.2%	0.0%
Used numerical information to examine a	Never	64.5%	78.8%	58.8%	35.4%
real-world problem or issue (unemployment,	Sometimes Often	24.9% 6.9%	15.5% 3.4%	31.9% 4.6%	38.1% 21.2%
climate change, public health, etc.)	Very often	3.6%	2.3%	4.6%	5.3%
	Never	62.5%	67.2%	63.5%	47.0%
Evaluated what others have concluded from	Sometimes	29.2%	28.0%	25.4%	39.8%
numerical information	Often	7.0%	4.8%	8.4%	10.6%
	Very often	1.3%	0.0%	2.7%	2.7%

Locuring Stuntonian	Dosnancos	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Learning Strategies	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
Identified key information from	Never	10.4%	12.3%	11.5%	3.1%
	Sometimes	41.3%	45.8%	31.2%	47.7%
recommended reading materials	Often	33.0%	26.6%	42.3%	33.3%
	Very often	15.3%	15.3%	15.0%	15.9%
	Never	12.0%	10.0%	14.2%	13.3%
Davisous du sous setes efter eles	Sometimes	46.7%	54.8%	40.0%	36.4%
Reviewed your notes after class	Often	26.8%	21.3%	29.6%	37.1%
	Very often	14.5%	13.8%	16.2%	13.3%
	Never	23.0%	20.4%	31.5%	14.2%
Summarised what you learned in class or	Sometimes	44.1%	49.3%	36.9%	43.4%
from course materials	Often	24.1%	23.5%	19.7%	34.5%
	Very often	8.7%	6.7%	11.9%	8.0%
Collaborative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	8.1%	11.3%	3.9%	6.7%
Asked another student to help you	Sometimes	41.7%	36.9%	36.6%	66.1%
understand course material	Often	29.2%	27.7%	34.7%	22.8%
	Very often	21.0%	24.2%	24.8%	4.5%
	Never	6.0%	6.6%	3.5%	9.3%
Explained course material to one or more	Sometimes	29.7%	31.3%	27.3%	29.5%
students	Often	39.4%	47.5%	27.6%	38.4%
	Very often	24.9%	14.6%	41.6%	22.8%
	Never	16.7%	19.9%	9.8%	20.9%
Prepared for exams by discussing or working	Sometimes	36.5%	39.7%	34.9%	29.9%
through course material with other students	Often	27.1%	27.3%	27.0%	26.8%
	Very often	19.7%	13.1%	28.3%	22.4%
	Never	17.5%	17.3%	16.8%	19.5%
Worked with other students on projects or	Sometimes	46.3%	54.8%	39.9%	33.5%
assignments	Often	24.2%	20.5%	29.8%	24.6%
	Very often	11.9%	7.5%	13.5%	22.4%
Student-Faculty Interaction	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	41.1%	51.6%	25.0%	42.0%
Talked about career plans with academic staff	Sometimes	39.1%	32.8%	42.9%	49.8%
Talked about career plans with academic staff	Often	12.4%	10.0%	19.5%	5.5%
	Very often	7.4%	5.6%	12.6%	2.7%
Wanted with an denti-staff and attitude	Never	67.9%	74.7%	56.6%	70.4%
Worked with academic staff on activities	Sometimes	27.1%	23.1%	34.6%	23.9%
other than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.)	Often	3.2%	2.2%	4.6%	3.1%
groups, etc.)	Very often	1.9%	0.0%	4.2%	2.7%
Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts	Never	34.0%	40.7%	28.1%	27.0%
	Sometimes	42.4%	37.5%	40.7%	59.3%
with academic staff outside of class	Often	16.2%	16.1%	17.7%	13.7%
	Very often	7.4%	5.8%	13.5%	0.0%
	Never	11.6%	16.4%	8.8%	3.1%
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Sometimes	42.2%	46.2%	28.8%	56.6%
	Often	33.7%	24.0%	47.3%	34.9%
	Very often	12.5%	13.4%	15.0%	5.3%

Effective Teaching Practices	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Clearly explained course goals and requirements	Very little	15.9%	16.9%	5.1%	33.2%
	Some	33.3%	34.2%	33.6%	30.4%
	Quite a bit	37.2%	35.0%	42.0%	33.7%
	Very much	13.6%	13.9%	19.3%	2.7%
	Very little	20.6%	16.5%	14.7%	41.3%
Taught in an organised way	Some	42.3%	42.9%	41.6%	42.3%
raught in an organiseu way	Quite a bit	27.7%	27.7%	34.0%	16.3%
	Very much	9.4%	13.0%	9.7%	0.0%
	Very little	16.4%	14.3%	19.3%	16.3%
Used examples or illustrations to explain	Some	25.5%	15.6%	31.4%	39.6%
difficult points	Quite a bit	32.3%	34.2%	27.8%	35.9%
	Very much	25.8%	35.9%	21.5%	8.2%
	Very little	13.0%	13.5%	10.1%	17.3%
Provided feedback on a draft or work in	Some	30.2%	31.1%	11.8%	60.9%
progress	Quite a bit	35.5%	29.0%	53.7%	19.1%
	Very much	21.3%	26.4%	24.4%	2.7%
Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments	Very little	26.5%	22.5%	25.1%	39.1%
	Some	32.8%	30.3%	29.9%	44.1%
	Quite a bit	20.3%	24.7%	23.9%	3.2%
	Very much	20.4%	22.5%	21.2%	13.6%
Quality of Interactions	Posponsos	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Quality of Interactions	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	1=Poor	1.7%	2.6%	0.0%	2.7%
	2	3.9%	2.6%	3.5%	8.2%
	3	7.9%	11.1%	3.0%	8.2%
Students	4	11.6%	12.0%	6.5%	19.6%
	5	13.2%	8.1%	21.6%	11.4%
	6	18.8%	17.0%	14.7%	30.4%
	7=Excellent	42.8%	46.6%	50.7%	19.6%
	1=Poor	7.2%	5.5%	5.4%	15.4%
	2	9.7%	9.4%	10.3%	9.2%
	3	13.2%	13.7%	17.5%	3.6%
Academic advisors	4	17.3%	12.9%	21.4%	22.1%
	5	23.1%	30.7%	12.1%	22.1%
	6	14.8%	10.7%	15.7%	24.6%
	7=Excellent	14.8%	17.0%	17.5%	3.1%
	1=Poor	7.5%	5.4%	1.8%	22.9%
	2	5.3%	4.0%	10.1%	0.0%
	3	8.5%	7.6%	9.6%	8.9%
Academic staff	4	15.1%	10.8%	16.7%	22.9%
	5	27.4%	32.1%	20.3%	28.5%
	6	19.2%	22.8%	17.2%	14.0%
	7=Excellent	16.9%	17.4%	24.3%	2.8%

Quality of Interactions (continued)	Responses	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Quality of interactions (continued)	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	1=Poor	8.2%	6.1%	1.9%	25.0%
	2	13.3%	9.0%	18.0%	15.8%
Support services staff (career services,	3	15.3%	20.4%	13.3%	6.1%
student activities, accommodation, etc.)	4	17.3%	19.8%	16.1%	12.8%
	5	15.7%	9.0%	18.5%	28.1%
	6	15.4%	18.8%	12.3%	12.2%
	7=Excellent	14.8%	17.0%	19.9%	0.0%
	1=Poor	12.9%	12.0%	8.4%	22.3%
	2	9.2%	7.1%	9.5%	13.6%
Other administrative staff and offices	3	11.5%	8.1%	17.0%	10.9%
(registry, finance, etc.)	4	20.0%	19.3%	17.9%	25.0%
(registry, finance, etc.)	5	20.1%	24.9%	14.6%	17.3%
	6	14.2%	12.9%	19.8%	8.2%
	7=Excellent	12.1%	15.6%	12.7%	2.7%
Supporting Equipment	Dosponsos	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Supportive Environment	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	Very little	16.2%	10.0%	16.9%	30.9%
Providing support to help students succeed	Some	41.3%	40.4%	41.1%	44.1%
academically	Quite a bit	29.1%	26.2%	37.3%	22.3%
	Very much	13.4%	23.4%	4.7%	2.7%
	Very little	24.5%	19.6%	18.6%	47.3%
Using learning support services (learning	Some	36.9%	30.0%	44.6%	41.3%
centre, computer centre, maths support,	Quite a bit	21.6%	22.0%	26.9%	11.4%
writing support etc.)	Very much	17.1%	28.4%	10.0%	0.0%
	Very little	39.5%	33.3%	36.3%	60.9%
Contact among students from different	Some	29.4%	21.7%	40.3%	30.4%
backgrounds (social, racial/ethnic, religious,	Quite a bit	17.3%	22.0%	15.2%	8.7%
etc.)	Very much	13.8%	22.9%	8.2%	0.0%
	Very little	18.8%	17.1%	17.7%	25.0%
	Some	29.7%	27.9%	20.9%	50.0%
Providing opportunities to be involved socially	Quite a bit	30.2%	24.9%	41.1%	25.0%
	Very much	21.3%	30.0%	20.4%	0.0%
	Very little	15.1%	11.3%	13.0%	29.3%
Providing support for your overall well-being	Some	32.1%	30.8%	30.6%	38.5%
(recreation, health care, counselling, etc.)	Quite a bit	35.1%	35.7%	40.8%	23.0%
, , ,	Very much	17.7%	22.1%	15.6%	9.2%
	Very little	57.5%	52.1%	60.2%	66.8%
Helping you manage your non-academic	Some	28.9%	29.2%	27.6%	30.4%
responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	Quite a bit	10.0%	12.5%	10.4%	2.7%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Very much	3.6%	6.3%	1.7%	0.0%
	Very little	13.6%	9.3%	14.7%	23.0%
Attending campus activities and events	Some	27.5%	25.0%	20.9%	46.0%
(special speakers, cultural performances,	Quite a bit	38.2%	38.7%	42.8%	28.1%
sporting events, etc.)	Very much	20.8%	27.0%	21.6%	2.8%
	Very little	28.5%	18.0%	32.9%	48.8%
Attending events that address important	Some	35.1%	34.6%	36.3%	34.3%
social, economic, or political issues	Quite a bit	25.5%	27.4%	27.3%	16.9%
	Very much	10.9%	20.0%	3.5%	0.0%
	very much	10.570	20.070	3.370	0.070

Non-indicator items	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Asked questions or contributed to discussions	Never	6.3%	8.6%	6.0%	0.0%
	Sometimes	33.6%	52.4%	12.7%	18.3%
in class, tutorials, labs or online	Often	30.0%	20.8%	39.4%	38.8%
	Very often	30.1%	18.2%	41.8%	42.9%
	Never	24.0%	13.3%	35.2%	33.5%
Come to class without completing readings or	Sometimes	54.5%	54.8%	51.4%	59.3%
assignments	Often	13.5%	20.5%	8.6%	2.6%
	Very often	8.0%	11.5%	4.8%	4.5%
	Never	17.5%	32.4%	3.5%	0.0%
	Sometimes	39.0%	48.2%	33.7%	21.4%
Made a presentation in class or online	Often	22.8%	17.6%	28.3%	27.9%
	Very often	20.7%	1.8%	34.5%	50.7%
	Never	12.3%	17.8%	7.7%	5.8%
Improved knowledge and skills that will	Sometimes	37.2%	40.3%	32.3%	38.1%
contribute to your employability	Often	30.4%	27.6%	37.6%	24.3%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Very often	20.1%	14.3%	22.3%	31.8%
	Never	25.2%	35.4%	18.8%	8.9%
Explored how to apply your learning in the	Sometimes	38.1%	39.5%	37.4%	35.4%
workplace	Often	21.7%	15.0%	27.7%	29.2%
	Very often	15.0%	10.1%	16.2%	26.5%
	Never	52.7%	59.7%	44.2%	49.2%
Exercised or participated in physical fitness	Sometimes	29.0%	27.9%	30.3%	29.2%
activities	Often	10.6%	6.7%	15.0%	13.3%
delivities	Very often	7.7%	5.6%	10.4%	8.4%
	Never	39.7%	45.0%	41.2%	22.2%
Blended academic learning with workplace	Sometimes	28.7%	30.7%	31.2%	18.6%
experience	Often	20.4%	15.1%	18.8%	38.1%
experience	Very often	11.2%	9.1%	8.8%	21.2%
	Never	25.5%	26.8%	23.4%	25.6%
Worked on assessments that informed you	Sometimes	40.0%	44.8%	36.4%	33.3%
how well you are learning	Often	22.6%	16.1%	22.7%	41.0%
now wen you are rearring	Very often	11.9%	12.3%	17.5%	0.0%
	Very little	64.8%	66.6%	72.3%	47.8%
	Some	22.0%	22.7%	15.9%	30.4%
Memorising course material	Quite a bit	10.8%	8.0%	8.1%	21.8%
	Very much	2.5%	2.7%	3.6%	0.0%
	Have not decided	37.8%	55.0%	19.9%	24.5%
Work with academic staff on a research	Do not plan to do	21.3%	13.3%	26.4%	33.2%
project	Plan to do	25.4%	29.2%	18.2%	28.2%
	Done or in progress	15.5%	2.5%	35.5%	14.1%
	Have not decided	21.8%	30.8%	12.2%	14.4%
Community service or volunteer work	Do not plan to do	23.6%	13.9%	36.3%	27.0%
	Plan to do	32.3%	43.7%	22.9%	17.8%
	Done or in progress	22.3%	11.5%	28.6%	40.8%

ISSE 2017 NCAD

Non-indicator items (continued)	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	18.1%	24.1%	14.7%	8.7%
Spending significant amounts of time studying	Some	22.7%	27.9%	16.9%	19.6%
and on academic work	Quite a bit	33.7%	27.8%	29.9%	55.4%
	Very much	25.5%	20.3%	38.5%	16.3%
	Very little	33.9%	40.9%	28.6%	25.0%
Miriting clearly and affectively	Some	31.8%	29.1%	30.4%	41.3%
Writing clearly and effectively	Quite a bit	23.4%	22.4%	23.8%	25.5%
	Very much	10.9%	7.6%	17.2%	8.2%
	Very little	23.7%	33.3%	10.1%	22.8%
Consider already and affectively	Some	36.4%	36.3%	38.7%	32.7%
Speaking clearly and effectively	Quite a bit	27.2%	20.1%	32.2%	36.4%
	Very much	12.7%	10.3%	19.0%	8.2%
	Very little	4.9%	4.7%	4.8%	5.4%
This big a sitiable and analytically	Some	18.0%	20.6%	5.3%	33.2%
Thinking critically and analytically	Quite a bit	40.0%	41.2%	40.0%	36.9%
	Very much	37.2%	33.5%	49.8%	24.5%
	Very little	72.9%	79.9%	71.4%	57.7%
Analysing numerical and statistical	Some	20.7%	15.9%	18.3%	36.9%
information	Quite a bit	5.9%	4.3%	8.5%	5.4%
	Very much	0.6%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
	Very little	30.0%	29.9%	24.6%	39.6%
Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and	Some	37.0%	43.4%	34.4%	25.0%
skills	Quite a bit	19.8%	18.6%	22.0%	19.1%
	Very much	13.2%	8.0%	19.0%	16.3%
	Very little	11.1%	13.4%	7.1%	12.4%
	Some	27.4%	28.7%	22.5%	32.7%
Working effectively with others	Quite a bit	34.2%	29.2%	39.1%	38.6%
	Very much	27.3%	28.8%	31.3%	16.3%
	Very little	31.1%	38.7%	29.1%	15.1%
	Some	32.8%	33.8%	29.9%	35.4%
Solving complex real-world problems	Quite a bit	25.3%	15.7%	30.4%	41.3%
	Very much	10.7%	11.8%	10.6%	8.2%
	Very little	25.2%	26.0%	20.3%	31.4%
Being an informed and active citizen (societal	Some	28.4%	28.4%	29.1%	27.2%
/ political / community)	Quite a bit	30.1%	29.9%	26.8%	35.9%
	Very much	16.4%	15.7%	23.8%	5.4%
	Poor	8.8%	5.7%	12.3%	10.9%
How would you evaluate your entire	Fair	27.1%	19.7%	25.9%	47.3%
educational experience at this institution?	Good	47.6%	48.6%	53.2%	35.9%
	Excellent	16.4%	25.9%	8.6%	5.9%
	Definitely no	5.0%	1.3%	8.6%	8.2%
If you could start over again, would you go to	Probably no	22.4%	13.5%	32.2%	28.2%
the same institution you are now attending?	Probably yes	37.8%	37.7%	34.2%	44.1%
	Definitely yes	34.7%	47.4%	25.0%	19.6%