THE IRISH SURVEY OF STUDENT ENGAGEMENT (ISSE)

Institution name: University College Dublin

Results of ISSE 2017

A: Context

Development and implementation of the Irish Survey of Student Engagement is driven by the intention to inform, support and encourage quality enhancement. The survey takes place each February – March and is open to first year undergraduates, final year undergraduates, and postgraduate taught students. Twenty seven institutions participated in 2017.

The ISSE reflects many aspects of students' experiences in higher education. It is designed to focus on student engagement, namely the amount of time and effort that students put into meaningful and purposeful educational activities and the extent to which institutions provide such opportunities and encourage students to engage with them. The data collected reflects students' self-reported perceptions of their experiences and this data set is one of many sources of evidence available to institutions to inform their enhancement activities.

The ISSE national steering group regards the comprehensive nature of data gathered as a key strength. Interpretation of detailed results requires appreciation of the local context. This means that staff and students within individual institutions are best placed to own and to interrogate institution-level data. Accordingly, any queries about these data should be directed to the individual institution in question. Institutions are committed to interpreting and utilising ISSE data to enhance the experiences of their students and do not support the use of student engagement results for any overly simplistic purpose that could be perceived as ranking institutions.

To aid navigation of the data, responses for many of the individual questions may be combined to create related indicator scores. These indicators scores act as "signposts" and are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60. Calculation of these scores is explained in the introductory section of the national report. No single indicator reflects the complex dimensions of student behaviour and institutional performance. Comparison of individual scores across institutions is inappropriate given that the differences with respect to mission, resources, profile and response rates. Comparison of indicator scores for various disciplines (for example, section 3.6 of the national report) illustrates the notable variation that exists between fields of study at national level. The proportion of students studying particular disciplines also influences the overall results for each institution.

The following tables provide percentage responses by year / cohort, weighted at institutional level, and the calculated score (out of 60) for each indicator.

Any queries relating to data for individual institutions should be referred to the institution in question. If there are any queries about these results, please contact student.engagement@ucd.ie

B: Indicator scores (out of 60)

Many questions contribute to engagement indicators, as shown in section C. Indicator scores are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60 using responses to the contributing question items.

Indicator	Indicator score (all
	respondents)
Higher Order Learning	37.9
Reflective and Integrative Learning	31.9
Quantitative Reasoning	21.7
Learning Strategies	31.9
Collaborative Learning	30.1
Student-Faculty Interaction	12.4
Effective Teaching Practices	33.9
Quality of Interactions	38.5
Supportive Environment	29.8

C: Responses to individual questions

Higher Order Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	5.8%	6.6%	7.1%	3.4%
Applying facts, theories, or methods to	Some	22.1%	24.6%	23.7%	16.7%
practical problems or new situations	Quite a bit	42.1%	42.4%	40.6%	43.1%
	Very much	30.0%	26.4%	28.6%	36.9%
	Very little	8.0%	9.7%	9.5%	3.8%
Analysing an idea, experience, or line of	Some	24.5%	28.9%	23.8%	18.2%
reasoning in depth by examining its parts	Quite a bit	39.7%	39.0%	43.3%	37.4%
	Very much	27.8%	22.3%	23.3%	40.6%
	Very little	8.2%	11.9%	6.6%	3.9%
Evaluating a point of view, decision, or	Some	27.4%	30.0%	30.1%	20.6%
information source	Quite a bit	38.8%	36.3%	40.4%	41.4%
	Very much	25.5%	21.8%	22.9%	34.0%
Forming an understanding or new idea from various pieces of information	Very little	7.0%	8.5%	7.8%	3.8%
	Some	22.9%	25.9%	25.1%	15.9%
	Quite a bit	41.5%	40.9%	41.3%	42.5%
	Very much	28.7%	24.6%	25.9%	37.8%

Reflective and Integrative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Combined ideas from different subjects /	Never	5.8%	9.1%	2.7%	3.2%
	Sometimes	35.0%	39.3%	34.1%	28.7%
modules when completing assignments	Often	39.6%	36.7%	41.5%	42.7%
	Very often	19.6%	14.9%	21.8%	25.4%
	Never	16.7%	20.4%	15.0%	12.2%
Connected your learning to problems or	Sometimes	36.8%	38.9%	40.2%	30.2%
issues in society	Often	30.4%	28.7%	29.1%	34.5%
	Very often	16.1%	12.0%	15.8%	23.1%
	Never	32.2%	38.3%	28.5%	25.7%
Included diverse perspectives (political,	Sometimes	36.5%	35.0%	39.1%	36.6%
religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in	Often	21.3%	18.4%	22.1%	25.3%
discussions or assignments	Very often	9.9%	8.3%	10.3%	12.3%
	Never	11.3%	15.2%	9.8%	6.2%
Examined the strengths and weaknesses of	Sometimes	39.1%	41.2%	40.3%	34.6%
your own views on a topic or issue	Often	36.3%	32.6%	36.5%	42.2%
	Very often	13.3%	11.0%	13.4%	17.0%
	Never	9.2%	11.8%	8.0%	5.9%
Tried to better understand someone else's	Sometimes	37.1%	39.0%	37.6%	33.5%
views by imagining how an issue looks from	Often	37.8%	35.0%	38.9%	41.5%
their perspective	Very often	15.9%	14.2%	15.5%	19.1%
	Never	3.6%	4.6%	2.7%	2.8%
Learned something that changed the way you	Sometimes	30.7%	32.3%	31.9%	26.8%
understand an issue or concept?	Often	45.9%	45.1%	46.2%	46.9%
·	Very often	19.8%	18.0%	19.2%	23.5%
	Never	3.1%	4.2%	2.7%	1.8%
Connected ideas from your subjects /	Sometimes	26.8%	31.1%	29.0%	17.6%
modules to your prior experiences and	Often	43.7%	42.8%	46.3%	42.8%
knowledge	Very often	26.4%	21.9%	22.0%	37.8%
Quantitative Reasoning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	23.5%	27.3%	21.4%	19.2%
Reached conclusions based on your analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs,	Sometimes	39.4%	42.3%	37.7%	36.0%
statistics, etc.)	Often	26.6%	22.5%	28.8%	31.1%
, ,	Very often	10.6%	7.9%	12.1%	13.7%
Used numerical information to examine a	Never	32.9%	36.8%	29.6%	29.6%
real-world problem or issue (unemployment,	Sometimes Often	37.0% 20.9%	37.5% 18.2%	38.8% 22.3%	34.6% 23.9%
climate change, public health, etc.)	Very often	9.2%	7.5%	9.3%	11.8%
	Never	34.9%	39.1%	33.3%	29.4%
Evaluated what others have concluded from	Sometimes	40.8%	42.3%	40.1%	39.1%
numerical information	Often	18.6%	14.6%	20.8%	23.2%
	Very often	5.7%	4.1%	5.8%	8.2%

		All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Learning Strategies	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
Identified key information from	Never	9.6%	14.3%	7.9%	3.3%
	Sometimes	35.3%	40.0%	36.2%	26.7%
recommended reading materials	Often	37.9%	33.9%	40.1%	42.4%
_	Very often	17.2%	11.8%	15.7%	27.6%
	Never	9.3%	9.8%	11.0%	6.7%
	Sometimes	38.9%	39.0%	39.6%	38.1%
Reviewed your notes after class	Often	35.8%	35.2%	33.6%	38.7%
	Very often	16.1%	16.0%	15.7%	16.5%
	Never	9.3%	9.5%	9.6%	8.8%
Summarised what you learned in class or	Sometimes	39.1%	41.1%	38.0%	37.0%
from course materials	Often	36.1%	33.6%	37.7%	38.6%
	Very often	15.5%	15.7%	14.8%	15.6%
Collaborative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	12.2%	13.0%	9.9%	13.0%
Asked another student to help you	Sometimes	48.4%	47.6%	45.1%	52.8%
understand course material	Often	28.6%	28.5%	31.9%	25.5%
	Very often	10.9%	10.9%	13.1%	8.7%
	Never	7.2%	8.5%	5.5%	6.6%
Explained course material to one or more	Sometimes	47.6%	49.8%	45.3%	46.2%
students	Often	31.5%	29.8%	33.9%	32.0%
	Very often	13.7%	11.9%	15.2%	15.2%
	Never	18.1%	20.3%	12.9%	19.1%
Prepared for exams by discussing or working	Sometimes	37.5%	39.7%	37.5%	34.0%
through course material with other students	Often	29.1%	25.9%	32.6%	31.1%
	Very often	15.4%	14.1%	17.0%	15.8%
	Never	10.7%	11.1%	8.5%	12.0%
Worked with other students on projects or	Sometimes	32.7%	36.6%	32.1%	26.7%
assignments	Often	32.6%	33.4%	32.9%	31.1%
	Very often	24.0%	18.9%	26.5%	30.1%
Student-Faculty Interaction	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	56.7%	71.1%	43.7%	45.4%
Talked about career plans with academic staff	Sometimes	28.7%	20.9%	38.1%	32.6%
raiked about career plans with academic stan	Often	11.0%	5.8%	14.6%	16.3%
	Very often	3.6%	2.2%	3.7%	5.7%
Worked with academic staff on activities	Never	70.4%	80.2%	62.8%	61.6%
other than coursework (committees, student	Sometimes	19.6%	13.8%	23.6%	25.5%
groups, etc.)	Often	7.3%	4.7%	10.3%	8.9%
6. oaps, etc.,	Very often	2.6%	1.3%	3.4%	4.0%
	Never	46.9%	61.0%	40.6%	29.8%
Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts	Sometimes	35.1%	26.8%	38.6%	45.3%
with academic staff outside of class	Often	13.3%	9.6%	15.1%	17.4%
	Very often	4.8%	2.6%	5.6%	7.5%
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Never	47.4%	62.8%	36.2%	32.8%
	Sometimes	38.3%	28.7%	45.7%	47.0%
	Often	11.3%	6.5%	14.1%	16.4%
	Very often	3.0%	2.0%	4.0%	3.8%

Effective Teaching Practices	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Clearly explained course goals and	Very little	4.8%	4.7%	4.9%	4.7%
	Some	23.1%	24.8%	27.3%	16.3%
requirements	Quite a bit	44.9%	45.7%	45.2%	43.4%
	Very much	27.2%	24.7%	22.5%	35.6%
	Very little	3.6%	3.1%	5.1%	3.1%
Taught in an organised way	Some	23.3%	22.5%	28.5%	19.7%
raught in an organiseu way	Quite a bit	47.1%	48.1%	47.3%	45.2%
	Very much	26.0%	26.3%	19.0%	31.9%
	Very little	3.5%	2.8%	5.5%	2.9%
Used examples or illustrations to explain	Some	20.1%	20.1%	23.8%	16.4%
difficult points	Quite a bit	43.0%	42.8%	43.9%	42.5%
	Very much	33.4%	34.3%	26.9%	38.1%
	Very little	29.1%	32.8%	32.6%	19.9%
Provided feedback on a draft or work in	Some	34.3%	35.0%	37.2%	30.4%
progress	Quite a bit	24.7%	23.6%	19.7%	31.0%
	Very much	12.0%	8.5%	10.5%	18.7%
	Very little	24.4%	26.4%	29.4%	16.6%
Provided prompt and detailed feedback on	Some	35.4%	36.4%	37.4%	32.0%
tests or completed assignments	Quite a bit	25.5%	25.1%	21.9%	29.5%
	Very much	14.7%	12.1%	11.3%	21.9%
Quality of Interactions	Posponsos	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Quality of Interactions	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	1=Poor	1.9%	1.7%	2.3%	1.8%
	2	2.5%	2.8%	2.8%	1.8%
	3	5.8%	6.2%	5.8%	5.3%
Students	4	11.1%	11.7%	11.8%	9.5%
	5	19.2%	21.2%	19.4%	15.9%
	6	23.8%	23.1%	23.0%	25.6%
	7=Excellent	35.7%	33.3%	34.8%	40.1%
	1=Poor	6.5%	6.4%	8.8%	4.5%
	2	7.9%	10.0%	8.7%	3.9%
	3	12.3%	14.2%	13.2%	8.4%
Academic advisors	4	18.6%	19.3%	22.2%	14.2%
	5	18.8%	19.1%	18.9%	18.2%
	6	17.3%	16.2%	15.2%	21.2%
	7=Excellent	18.6%	14.9%	13.1%	29.7%
	1=Poor	4.7%	4.8%	6.7%	2.7%
	2	6.5%	8.1%	7.7%	2.8%
	3	10.1%	12.3%	11.2%	5.6%
Academic staff	4	16.7%	18.0%	20.1%	11.4%
Academic staff					
Academic staff	5	22.5%	23.7%	21.9%	20.9%
Academic staff	-	22.5% 19.6%	23.7% 17.8%	21.9% 17.1%	20.9%

Quality of Interactions (continued)	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	1=Poor	7.0%	5.7%	9.3%	6.6%
	2	8.2%	9.0%	8.5%	6.7%
	3	12.4%	11.7%	16.5%	9.3%
Support services staff (career services,	4	17.6%	17.3%	20.2%	15.4%
student activities, accommodation, etc.)	5	20.4%	20.0%	20.6%	20.8%
	6	17.2%	18.2%	14.5%	18.2%
	7=Excellent	17.3%	18.1%	10.4%	23.0%
	1=Poor	7.1%	7.5%	8.3%	5.5%
	2	8.4%	8.0%	11.6%	6.1%
	3	10.7%	9.6%	14.5%	9.0%
Other administrative staff and offices	4	16.8%	17.7%	19.4%	13.2%
(registry, finance, etc.)	5	20.4%	21.4%	20.2%	19.0%
	6	18.2%	17.4%	14.4%	23.1%
	7=Excellent	18.3%	18.5%	11.7%	24.2%
	, Excellent	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Supportive Environment	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	Very little	9.3%	6.7%	13.6%	9.6%
Providing support to help students succeed	Some	31.2%	29.3%	36.9%	29.1%
academically	Quite a bit	38.9%	38.6%	37.3%	40.8%
academicany	Very much	20.6%	25.5%	12.2%	20.6%
	Very little	11.2%	7.3%	15.5%	13.2%
Using learning support services (learning	Some	25.1%	19.8%	31.5%	27.5%
centre, computer centre, maths support,		36.8%	36.1%	37.1%	37.5%
writing support etc.)	Quite a bit	27.0%	36.7%	16.0%	21.9%
	Very much				
Contact among students from different	Very little	25.6%	23.6%	31.6%	23.1%
backgrounds (social, racial/ethnic, religious,	Some	34.7%	35.2%	35.9%	32.7%
etc.)	Quite a bit	26.5%	27.3%	22.9%	28.5%
	Very much	13.3%	13.8%	9.6%	15.7%
	Very little	12.1%	7.8%	12.7%	18.7%
Providing opportunities to be involved socially	Some	28.5%	24.5%	30.6%	33.0%
5	Quite a bit	36.1%	37.2%	38.2%	32.4%
	Very much	23.2%	30.5%	18.5%	15.9%
	Very little	15.9%	12.8%	16.5%	20.4%
Providing support for your overall well-being	Some	31.1%	27.5%	35.1%	33.2%
(recreation, health care, counselling, etc.)	Quite a bit	33.4%	34.8%	33.3%	31.1%
	Very much	19.6%	24.9%	15.1%	15.2%
	Very little	42.3%	40.1%	47.8%	40.7%
Helping you manage your non-academic	Some	33.7%	34.2%	31.6%	35.0%
responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	Quite a bit	17.5%	18.3%	15.6%	18.0%
	Very much	6.5%	7.4%	5.1%	6.4%
Attending compute activities and events	Very little	14.0%	11.5%	13.2%	18.7%
Attending campus activities and events (special speakers, cultural performances,	Some	31.4%	29.0%	36.1%	31.0%
sporting events, etc.)	Quite a bit	36.4%	37.1%	37.9%	33.9%
sporting events, etc.,	Very much	18.2%	22.3%	12.9%	16.4%
	Very little	19.9%	16.3%	22.4%	23.2%
Attending events that address important	Some	36.3%	35.1%	38.9%	35.7%
social, economic, or political issues	Quite a bit	30.8%	33.1%	29.4%	28.5%
	Very much	13.0%	15.5%	9.4%	12.5%

Non-indicator items	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Asked questions or contributed to discussions	Never	9.0%	12.6%	8.0%	3.8%
	Sometimes	40.6%	44.8%	42.3%	32.2%
in class, tutorials, labs or online	Often	30.3%	27.6%	32.2%	33.1%
	Very often	20.1%	15.0%	17.5%	30.9%
	Never	24.1%	22.4%	16.7%	33.8%
Come to class without completing readings or	Sometimes	48.9%	48.4%	48.1%	50.4%
assignments	Often	18.9%	21.3%	23.4%	10.9%
	Very often	8.1%	7.9%	11.9%	4.9%
	Never	21.4%	29.0%	14.5%	15.2%
	Sometimes	44.4%	48.3%	44.2%	38.0%
Made a presentation in class or online	Often	22.5%	15.3%	26.6%	30.7%
	Very often	11.7%	7.4%	14.6%	16.1%
	Never	7.4%	10.3%	5.8%	4.1%
Improved knowledge and skills that will	Sometimes	30.9%	37.4%	31.2%	20.0%
contribute to your employability	Often	39.0%	34.7%	42.6%	42.5%
	Very often	22.7%	17.6%	20.4%	33.4%
	Never	25.4%	36.5%	21.8%	10.7%
Explored how to apply your learning in the	Sometimes	33.9%	34.4%	36.8%	30.3%
workplace	Often	26.8%	19.8%	27.8%	37.1%
·	Very often	14.0%	9.3%	13.6%	21.9%
	Never	20.2%	18.9%	14.2%	27.8%
Exercised or participated in physical fitness	Sometimes	29.4%	29.9%	26.2%	31.5%
activities	Often	22.9%	23.3%	23.9%	21.2%
	Very often	27.6%	27.9%	35.7%	19.5%
	Never	34.0%	48.5%	28.3%	15.6%
Blended academic learning with workplace	Sometimes	27.6%	26.3%	29.6%	27.9%
experience	Often	22.5%	15.9%	24.0%	31.8%
•	Very often	15.9%	9.3%	18.2%	24.7%
	Never	23.0%	24.7%	23.8%	19.6%
Worked on assessments that informed you	Sometimes	41.5%	42.8%	45.8%	35.3%
how well you are learning	Often	27.3%	25.3%	24.6%	32.9%
,	Very often	8.2%	7.1%	5.8%	12.2%
	Very little	17.3%	12.9%	9.9%	31.3%
	Some	31.8%	33.3%	25.0%	35.8%
Memorising course material	Quite a bit	32.9%	35.4%	37.9%	24.2%
	Very much	18.0%	18.4%	27.1%	8.7%
	Have not decided	29.6%	44.1%	16.2%	18.9%
Work with academic staff on a research	Do not plan to do	27.5%	19.4%	44.5%	24.7%
project	Plan to do	27.0%	33.7%	11.1%	30.9%
	Done or in progress	15.9%	2.8%	28.2%	25.5%
	Have not decided	23.5%	25.3%	18.9%	24.8%
Community service or volunteer work	Do not plan to do	24.7%	12.8%	33.8%	35.6%
	Plan to do	32.7%	48.3%	18.5%	20.5%
	Done or in progress	19.2%	13.6%	28.8%	19.1%

Non-indicator items (continued)	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Spending significant amounts of time studying	Very little	3.0%	3.3%	1.9%	3.4%
	Some	22.0%	24.9%	18.5%	20.8%
and on academic work	Quite a bit	47.6%	49.3%	46.6%	46.0%
	Very much	27.4%	22.5%	33.0%	29.9%
	Very little	15.1%	21.3%	10.8%	9.0%
Writing alongly and affactively	Some	32.2%	38.0%	26.8%	28.0%
Writing clearly and effectively	Quite a bit	34.1%	29.4%	40.0%	36.0%
	Very much	18.6%	11.2%	22.4%	26.9%
	Very little	18.7%	25.8%	13.9%	11.9%
Consider the observed officiality	Some	30.4%	34.2%	26.6%	28.0%
Speaking clearly and effectively	Quite a bit	32.6%	27.3%	38.4%	35.8%
	Very much	18.2%	12.7%	21.2%	24.3%
	Very little	3.7%	4.6%	2.8%	3.1%
+1 · 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Some	19.7%	23.7%	17.1%	15.7%
Thinking critically and analytically	Quite a bit	40.8%	43.1%	38.6%	39.0%
	Very much	35.8%	28.5%	41.5%	42.1%
	Very little	20.8%	24.5%	18.3%	17.2%
Analysing numerical and statistical	Some	30.2%	31.4%	29.4%	29.2%
information	Quite a bit	28.8%	25.8%	31.1%	31.5%
	Very much	20.1%	18.3%	21.2%	22.1%
	Very little	16.1%	22.3%	13.9%	8.3%
Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and	Some	30.7%	32.9%	30.6%	27.2%
skills	Quite a bit	30.0%	27.6%	30.1%	33.7%
	Very much	23.2%	17.2%	25.4%	30.8%
	Very little	8.1%	9.0%	6.2%	8.5%
	Some	25.2%	26.5%	22.7%	25.4%
Working effectively with others	Quite a bit	38.9%	39.7%	41.2%	35.4%
	Very much	27.8%	24.8%	29.9%	30.6%
	Very little	15.7%	19.0%	13.4%	12.5%
	Some	32.1%	35.1%	32.9%	26.7%
Solving complex real-world problems	Quite a bit	32.9%	29.8%	33.9%	36.8%
	Very much	19.3%	16.2%	19.8%	24.0%
	Very little	22.9%	23.6%	23.5%	21.3%
Being an informed and active citizen (societal	Some	34.0%	35.7%	33.9%	31.4%
/ political / community)	Quite a bit	27.3%	26.5%	28.0%	28.0%
	Very much	15.7%	14.2%	14.5%	19.2%
	Poor	2.1%	1.3%	3.0%	2.5%
How would you evaluate your entire	Fair	13.4%	11.9%	17.1%	12.2%
educational experience at this institution?	Good	47.0%	47.8%	47.5%	45.1%
•	Excellent	37.6%	39.0%	32.5%	40.2%
	Definitely no	2.7%	1.8%	4.3%	2.5%
If you could start over again, would you go to	Probably no	8.7%	7.7%	11.3%	7.8%
the same institution you are now attending?	Probably yes	37.6%	34.8%	38.8%	41.0%
,	Definitely yes	51.0%	55.7%	45.6%	48.6%
	Definitely yes	31.070	33.770	45.070	70.070