StudentSurvey.ie (Irish Survey of Student Engagement)

Institution name: Athlone Institute of Technology

Results of StudentSurvey.ie 2020

A: Context

Development and implementation of the Irish Survey of Student Engagement is driven by the intention to inform, support and encourage quality enhancement. The survey takes place each February – March and is open to first year undergraduates, final year undergraduates, and postgraduate taught students. Twenty six institutions participated in 2020.

StudentSurvey.ie reflects many aspects of students' experiences in higher education. It is designed to focus on student engagement, namely the amount of time and effort that students put into meaningful and purposeful educational activities and the extent to which institutions provide such opportunities and encourage students to engage with them. The data collected reflect students' self-reported perceptions of their experiences and this data set is one of many sources of evidence available to institutions to inform their enhancement activities.

The StudentSurvey.ie Steering Group regards the comprehensive nature of data gathered as a key strength. Interpretation of detailed results requires appreciation of the local context. This means that staff and students within individual institutions are best placed to own and to interrogate institution-level data. Accordingly, any queries about these data should be directed to the individual institution in question. Institutions are committed to interpreting and utilising StudentSurvey.ie data to enhance the experiences of their students and do not support the use of student engagement results for any overly simplistic purpose that could be perceived as ranking institutions.

To aid navigation of the data, responses for many of the individual questions may be combined to create related indicator scores. These indicators scores act as "signposts" and are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60. Calculation of these scores is explained in the introductory section of the national report. No single indicator reflects the complex dimensions of student behaviour and institutional performance. Comparison of individual scores across institutions is inappropriate given that the differences with respect to mission, resources, profile and response rates. Comparison of indicator scores for various disciplines in the national report illustrates the notable variation that exists between fields of study at national level. The proportion of students studying particular disciplines also influences the overall results for each institution.

The following tables provide percentage responses by year/ cohort, weighted at institutional level, and the calculated score (out of 60) for each indicator.

Any queries relating to data for individual institutions should be referred to the institution in question. If there are any queries about these results, please contact elangan@ait.ie

B: Indicator scores (out of 60)

Many questions contribute to engagement indicators, as shown in section C. Indicator scores are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60 using responses to the contributing question items.

Indicator	Indicator score (all
	respondents)
Higher Order Learning	36.4
Reflective and Integrative Learning	31.2
Quantitative Reasoning	22.2
Learning Strategies	32.6
Collaborative Learning	34.5
Student-Faculty Interaction	16.9
Effective Teaching Practices	37.5
Quality of Interactions	41.8
Supportive Environment	30.2

C: Responses to individual questions

Higher Order Learning	Dosnansos	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	Very little	4.4	4.0	5.2	1.8
Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical	Some	28.9	29.6	28.6	26.0
problems or new situations	Quite a bit	42.1	42.3	41.4	44.2
	Very much	24.7	24.0	24.8	28.0
	Very little	6.8	6.5	7.8	3.0
Analysing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning	Some	34.0	37.7	32.2	23.3
in depth by examining its parts	Quite a bit	38.7	36.6	40.7	40.0
	Very much	20.4	19.2	19.3	33.7
	Very little	5.5	5.5	6.0	2.4
Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information	Some	29.9	32.7	29.2	17.9
source	Quite a bit	43.4	43.1	43.2	46.3
	Very much	21.2	18.7	21.6	33.4
	Very little	5.0	4.2	6.4	1.8
Forming an understanding or new idea from	Some	28.9	30.7	28.3	21.9
various pieces of information	Quite a bit	39.5	38.3	40.9	39.2
	Very much	26.5	26.8	24.3	37.2

Reflective and Integrative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	4.5	5.8	3.7	1.8
Combined ideas from different subjects / modules	Sometimes	35.7	39.0	34.3	24.4
when completing assignments	Often	42.5	40.3	44.4	44.1
	Very often	17.3	14.9	17.6	29.8
	Never	14.1	18.0	11.4	6.8
Connected your learning to problems or issues in	Sometimes	43.9	44.9	42.9	43.3
society	Often	29.9	27.2	32.4	31.8
	Very often	12.0	9.9	13.2	18.1
	Never	36.1	40.0	33.5	28.4
Included diverse perspectives (political, religious,	Sometimes	39.0	36.2	41.2	42.2
racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in discussions or assignments	Often	18.4	18.0	18.8	17.9
assignments	Very often	6.5	5.7	6.5	11.5
	Never	8.0	8.8	7.8	3.8
Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your	Sometimes	42.8	44.3	43.9	27.7
own views on a topic or issue	Often	37.3	37.9	34.7	49.5
	Very often	11.9	9.0	13.5	19.1
	Never	5.6	6.9	5.1	0.6
Tried to better understand someone else's views	Sometimes	36.0	36.9	36.2	29.3
by imagining how an issue looks from their perspective	Often	41.5	39.3	42.0	51.5
perspective	Very often	16.9	16.8	16.6	18.7
	Never	2.7	3.3	2.3	1.6
Learned something that changed the way you	Sometimes	35.2	32.7	38.4	31.3
understand an issue or concept?	Often	43.7	44.8	43.5	38.8
	Very often	18.4	19.2	15.8	28.4
	Never	2.1	2.4	2.0	1.2
Connected ideas from your subjects / modules to	Sometimes	31.7	31.9	33.3	20.7
your prior experiences and knowledge	Often	44.7	46.1	43.7	41.9
	Very often	21.5	19.6	20.9	36.3

Quantitativa Passaning	Danamana	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Quantitative Reasoning	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	Never	18.4	21.2	17.2	8.5
Reached conclusions based on your analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics,	Sometimes	42.6	44.1	40.7	44.9
etc.)	Often	28.6	26.6	29.4	35.9
- Cic.,	Very often	10.4	8.2	12.7	10.6
	Never	31.0	35.3	28.3	21.1
Used numerical information to examine a real-	Sometimes	42.8	42.5	42.5	46.5
world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health, etc.)	Often	19.8	16.7	22.4	24.2
change, public recurry etc.)	Very often	6.4	5.6	6.9	8.2
	Never	27.8	33.7	24.2	13.7
Evaluated what others have concluded from	Sometimes	47.8	43.6	50.1	59.3
numerical information	Often	19.5	18.2	20.2	22.9
	Very often	4.9	4.5	5.5	4.2

Learning Strategies	Posponsos	All	Undergrad -	Undergrad -	Postgrad
Learning Strategies	Responses	Students	Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Never	7.5	8.8	7.4	0.6
Identified key information from recommended	Sometimes	41.2	44.7	40.4	25.4
reading materials	Often	37.9	34.5	38.5	54.3
	Very often	13.4	12.0	13.7	19.7
	Never	6.9	5.4	9.2	3.3
Davioused your nates often class	Sometimes	37.1	33.1	41.5	36.0
Reviewed your notes after class	Often	38.1	40.7	35.4	38.1
	Very often	17.8	20.8	13.9	22.5
	Never	6.2	5.3	7.5	4.0
Summarised what you learned in class or from	Sometimes	39.5	39.5	41.6	28.3
course materials	Often	37.1	36.4	35.5	50.4
	Very often	17.1	18.7	15.4	17.3

Collaborative Learning	Dosponsos	All	Undergrad -	Undergrad -	Postgrad
	Responses	Students	Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Never	5.6	8.0	3.9	1.5
Asked another student to help you understand	Sometimes	44.8	44.2	43.6	55.4
course material	Often	34.8	35.0	35.2	31.7
	Very often	14.7	12.8	17.2	11.4
	Never	4.2	5.2	3.2	3.6
Explained course material to one or more students	Sometimes	44.9	49.1	40.8	43.7
Explained course material to one of more students	Often	35.0	33.8	36.6	32.2
	Very often	15.9	11.8	19.3	20.6
	Never	8.6	11.4	6.5	4.9
Prepared for exams by discussing or working	Sometimes	34.8	39.1	30.8	31.8
through course material with other students	Often	31.6	28.3	35.7	26.8
	Very often	25.0	21.2	27.0	36.5
	Never	3.1	3.6	2.8	1.6
Worked with other students on projects or	Sometimes	26.9	30.2	24.0	24.0
assignments	Often	40.9	41.6	41.1	36.2
	Very often	29.1	24.6	32.1	38.2

Student-Faculty Interaction	Dosnansas	All	Undergrad -	Undergrad -	Postgrad
	Responses	Students	Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Never	38.2	45.7	33.3	22.2
Talked about career plans with academic staff	Sometimes	38.3	34.1	41.4	45.5
Talked about career plans with academic stan	Often	18.0	14.8	19.8	27.1
	Very often	5.5	5.4	5.6	5.2
	Never	60.2	65.4	56.8	49.6
Worked with academic staff on activities other	Sometimes	27.0	24.9	28.6	30.4
than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.)	Often	9.3	6.7	11.1	13.6
ctc.,	Very often	3.5	3.0	3.5	6.3
	Never	34.2	44.1	26.5	20.1
Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with	Sometimes	42.1	35.6	46.6	54.8
academic staff outside of class	Often	17.6	15.0	20.2	17.6
	Very often	6.1	5.4	6.7	7.5
	Never	29.9	32.9	27.9	23.4
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Sometimes	48.0	48.3	48.1	44.8
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Often	17.3	14.7	18.6	25.2
	Very often	4.9	4.1	5.4	6.6

Effective Teaching Practices	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	4.2	2.9	6.3	0.0
Clearly explained course goals and requirements	Some	21.2	19.5	23.7	16.9
Clearly explained course goals and requirements	Quite a bit	42.3	44.5	40.9	36.9
	Very much	32.3	33.1	29.1	46.2
	Very little	4.1	2.5	6.2	1.8
Taught in an organized way	Some	24.5	21.8	28.9	15.9
Taught in an organised way	Quite a bit	42.1	45.8	39.8	34.1
	Very much	29.2	30.0	25.1	48.2
	Very little	3.5	2.6	5.0	0.6
Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult	Some	20.4	17.2	24.3	17.7
points	Quite a bit	38.8	40.2	38.2	33.5
	Very much	37.3	40.0	32.5	48.1
	Very little	11.9	9.9	15.0	5.2
Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	Some	31.6	32.9	30.9	28.3
Provided reedback off a draft of work in progress	Quite a bit	32.3	32.6	32.3	30.5
	Very much	24.2	24.6	21.8	36.0
	Very little	14.6	13.4	16.8	9.2
Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or	Some	32.8	32.7	34.2	25.3
completed assignments	Quite a bit	30.6	32.4	28.4	32.4
	Very much	22.0	21.5	20.6	33.1

Quality of Interactions	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	1=Poor	1.0	0.5	1.5	1.6
	2	1.7	1.6	1.9	1.2
	3	3.7	3.3	4.1	3.4
Students	4	10.1	8.0	12.8	7.5
	5	19.4	19.6	19.4	18.4
	6	23.8	23.7	23.3	26.8
	7=Excellent	40.2	43.2	36.9	41.1
	1=Poor	3.7	2.6	4.6	4.3
	2	6.0	5.3	7.4	2.5
	3	10.9	9.7	12.2	10.3
Academic advisors	4	17.0	17.6	17.4	10.7
	5	21.0	23.0	19.8	16.8
	6	18.3	19.8	16.3	21.7
	7=Excellent	23.0	22.0	22.3	33.7
	1=Poor	1.7	1.1	2.5	0.6
	2	3.4	2.9	4.1	2.2
	3	7.0	5.7	8.7	4.6
Academic staff	4	14.4	14.6	14.8	11.5
	5	21.1	24.6	19.1	12.4
	6	22.5	22.2	22.1	26.4
	7=Excellent	29.9	29.0	28.7	42.3

Quality of lutorestions (soutioned)	Danaman	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Quality of Interactions (continued)	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	1=Poor	6.6	5.0	8.5	4.4
	2	7.2	6.9	8.0	3.4
	3	10.4	10.1	11.5	5.3
Support services staff (career services, student activities, accommodation, etc.)	4	14.4	15.9	13.6	10.1
activities, accommodation, etc.)	5	18.5	18.5	19.2	14.2
	6	17.9	17.7	16.7	26.5
	7=Excellent	25.1	26.0	22.4	36.0
	1=Poor	5.2	5.0	5.7	2.9
	2	6.7	6.5	7.8	1.2
	3	9.8	9.7	10.9	4.3
Other administrative staff and offices (registry, finance, etc.)	4	14.3	15.0	14.2	11.1
iniance, etc.,	5	19.0	18.7	19.5	18.0
	6	17.3	18.4	15.7	20.5
	7=Excellent	27.7	26.7	26.2	41.9

Supportive Environment	Responses	All	Undergrad	Undergrad -	Postgrad
Supportive Environment	Responses	Students	- Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Very little	7.2	5.9	9.3	3.2
Providing support to help students succeed	Some	29.8	28.1	32.9	21.9
academically	Quite a bit	37.8	39.0	37.0	35.6
	Very much	25.1	27.0	20.8	39.3
	Very little	12.5	12.1	13.3	10.5
Using learning support services (learning centre, computer centre, maths support, writing support	Some	27.3	25.6	30.6	18.5
etc.)	Quite a bit	34.4	35.9	33.7	29.1
	Very much	25.8	26.4	22.5	42.0
	Very little	16.0	15.1	17.5	11.6
Contact among students from different	Some	33.2	32.3	35.1	27.1
backgrounds (social, racial/ethnic, religious, etc.)	Quite a bit	32.0	31.8	31.6	35.0
	Very much	18.9	20.7	15.8	26.4
	Very little	12.6	10.2	15.6	9.6
Draviding apportunities to be involved socially	Some	32.9	31.3	35.1	29.7
Providing opportunities to be involved socially	Quite a bit	34.4	36.0	32.4	36.4
	Very much	20.1	22.5	16.9	24.4
	Very little	11.9	10.0	14.3	8.9
Providing support for your overall well-being	Some	26.9	25.3	28.9	24.7
(recreation, health care, counselling, etc.)	Quite a bit	36.0	36.3	35.4	37.4
	Very much	25.2	28.3	21.4	29.0
	Very little	30.5	26.8	36.2	19.7
Helping you manage your non-academic	Some	33.9	35.4	31.9	36.4
responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	Quite a bit	24.2	24.4	23.2	28.9
	Very much	11.4	13.4	8.8	14.9
	Very little	21.8	20.9	23.9	14.1
Attending campus activities and events (special	Some	36.4	37.5	35.7	33.3
speakers, cultural performances, sporting events, etc.)	Quite a bit	28.5	27.7	28.5	32.5
	Very much	13.4	13.8	11.8	20.1
	Very little	30.7	31.3	32.2	19.3
Attending events that address important social,	Some	36.9	37.7	35.6	39.5
economic, or political issues	Quite a bit	22.1	20.9	22.2	28.4
	Very much	10.3	10.1	10.0	12.9

Non-indicator items	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	6.0	6.5	6.2	2.8
Asked questions or contributed to discussions	Sometimes	40.3	40.5	41.9	30.3
in class, tutorials, labs or online	Often	34.5	35.9	32.6	37.5
	Very often	19.1	17.2	19.4	29.4
	Never	37.6	45.0	29.9	38.3
Come to class without completing readings or	Sometimes	47.7	44.3	50.6	51.2
assignments	Often	10.2	7.6	13.5	6.5
	Very often	4.5	3.1	6.0	4.0
	Never	6.9	9.0	4.9	5.4
Made a grandetion in class or culing	Sometimes	39.6	44.9	36.1	28.5
Made a presentation in class or online	Often	36.9	34.0	38.6	44.0
	Very often	16.7	12.1	20.4	22.1
	Never	4.0	4.2	4.2	1.8
Improved knowledge and skills that will	Sometimes	25.9	26.9	26.2	17.4
contribute to your employability	Often	44.0	44.0	43.7	45.6
	Very often	26.2	24.9	26.0	35.2
	Never	9.6	11.4	9.1	1.8
Explored how to apply your learning in the	Sometimes	36.3	36.8	36.5	32.7
workplace	Often	34.1	33.1	33.6	42.6
	Very often	20.0	18.6	20.8	22.9
	Never	30.0	29.0	30.6	32.2
Exercised or participated in physical fitness	Sometimes	30.0	30.7	28.7	32.9
activities	Often	20.0	20.4	19.3	21.6
	Very often	20.1	19.9	21.4	13.3
	Never	20.6	28.0	15.4	6.7
Blended academic learning with workplace	Sometimes	32.8	29.9	34.5	40.7
experience	Often	29.4	28.0	29.6	36.1
	Very often	17.2	14.1	20.5	16.5
	Never	15.5	13.7	19.0	5.8
Worked on assessments that informed you	Sometimes	42.7	45.5	41.3	34.2
how well you are learning	Often	31.8	31.1	30.5	44.3
	Very often	10.0	9.7	9.3	15.8
	Very little	7.5	5.3	7.6	19.7
Momorising source material	Some	32.6	36.5	28.6	32.2
Memorising course material	Quite a bit	40.7	42.0	40.6	34.4
	Very much	19.2	16.2	23.3	13.7
	Have not decided	34.4	44.6	27.7	13.1
Work with academic staff on a research	Do not plan to do	21.3	16.8	26.9	16.5
project	Plan to do	30.5	35.4	24.2	37.7
	Done or in progress	13.8	3.3	21.3	32.7
	Have not decided	33.2	36.0	31.7	26.0
Community convice or volunteer work	Do not plan to do	22.1	16.3	29.0	17.2
Community service or volunteer work	Plan to do	31.6	37.7	25.3	32.0
	Done or in progress	13.0	10.0	14.0	24.8
	Very little	3.5	3.4	4.0	1.2
Spending significant amounts of time	Some	28.1	32.0	25.0	23.2
studying and on academic work	Quite a bit	48.8	49.7	48.9	43.4
	Very much	19.5	14.9	22.0	32.2

Non-indicator items	Responses	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Non-indicator items		Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
Writing clearly and effectively	Very little	9.2	9.5	9.6	4.1
	Some	29.4	32.9	26.8	23.8
	Quite a bit	40.8	40.9	40.6	41.0
	Very much	20.6	16.6	22.9	31.1
Speaking clearly and effectively	Very little	7.3	6.6	9.1	1.8
	Some	29.5	31.2	28.3	25.9
	Quite a bit	40.4	41.7	39.1	40.2
	Very much	22.8	20.6	23.5	32.1
Thinking critically and analytically	Very little	3.4	3.1	3.9	1.8
	Some	21.6	25.6	19.0	13.7
	Quite a bit	45.2	47.7	44.4	35.1
	Very much	29.8	23.5	32.8	49.5
Analysing numerical and statistical information	Very little	13.7	14.6	13.8	8.0
	Some	31.2	35.8	27.8	24.1
	Quite a bit	34.9	32.7	36.3	39.1
	Very much	20.2	16.9	22.0	28.7
Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and skills	Very little	7.2	7.4	7.6	3.9
	Some	27.4	30.4	25.8	19.3
	Quite a bit	36.2	35.5	37.0	35.9
	Very much	29.2	26.7	29.7	40.9
Working effectively with others	Very little	3.0	3.4	3.1	0.6
	Some	21.0	21.3	20.6	21.1
	Quite a bit	39.1	38.8	40.1	34.8
	Very much	36.9	36.4	36.2	43.5
Solving complex real-world problems	Very little	11.4	11.9	11.8	5.7
	Some	32.6	35.6	30.5	27.0
	Quite a bit	35.2	33.4	36.2	40.2
	Very much	20.8	19.1	21.4	27.1
Being an informed and active citizen (societal / political / community)	Very little	19.3	20.4	19.4	12.4
	Some	33.6	36.5	29.9	37.3
	Quite a bit	29.1	26.7	32.0	27.0
	Very much	18.0	16.4	18.6	23.3
How would you evaluate your entire educational experience at this institution?	Poor	2.6	1.8	3.8	0.0
	Fair	12.3	10.6	14.7	9.3
	Good	50.2	49.8	50.7	50.1
	Excellent	34.8	37.8	30.8	40.6
If you could start over again, would you go to the same institution you are now attending?	Definitely no	2.9	1.7	4.5	1.6
	Probably no	10.3	6.9	13.8	9.9
	Probably yes	45.3	43.1	48.1	41.9
	Definitely yes	41.5	48.3	33.6	46.6