StudentSurvey.ie (Irish Survey of Student Engagement) Institution name: St Angela's College Results of StudentSurvey.ie 2022

A: Context

Development and implementation of the Irish Survey of Student Engagement is driven by the intention to inform, support and encourage quality enhancement. The survey takes place each February – March and is open to first year undergraduates, final year undergraduates, and postgraduate taught students. Twenty five institutions participated in 2022.

StudentSurvey.ie reflects many aspects of students' experiences in higher education. It is designed to focus on student engagement, namely the amount of time and effort that students put into meaningful and purposeful educational activities and the extent to which institutions provide such opportunities and encourage students to engage with them. The data collected reflect students' self-reported perceptions of their experiences and this data set is one of many sources of evidence available to institutions to inform their enhancement activities.

The StudentSurvey.ie Steering Group regards the comprehensive nature of data gathered as a key strength. Interpretation of detailed results requires appreciation of the local context. This means that staff and students within individual institutions are best placed to own and to interrogate institution-level data. Accordingly, any queries about these data should be directed to the individual institution in question. Institutions are committed to interpreting and utilising StudentSurvey.ie data to enhance the experiences of their students and do not support the use of student engagement results for any overly simplistic purpose that could be perceived as ranking institutions.

To aid navigation of the data, responses for many of the individual questions may be combined to create related indicator scores. These indicators scores act as "signposts" and are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60. Calculation of these scores is explained in the introductory section of the national report. No single indicator reflects the complex dimensions of student behaviour and institutional performance. Comparison of individual scores across institutions is inappropriate given that the differences with respect to mission, resources, profile and response rates. Comparison of indicator scores for various disciplines in the national report illustrates the notable variation that exists between fields of study at national level. The proportion of students studying particular disciplines also influences the overall results for each institution.

The following tables provide percentage responses by year/ cohort, weighted at institutional level, and the calculated score (out of 60) for each indicator.

Any queries relating to data for individual institutions should be referred to the institution in question. If there are any queries about these results, please contact dcourell@stangelas.ie.

B: Indicator scores (out of 60)

Many questions contribute to engagement indicators, as shown in section C. Indicator scores are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60 using responses to the contributing question items.

Indicator	Indicator score (all
	respondents)
Higher Order Learning	35.3
Reflective and Integrative Learning	30.9
Quantitative Reasoning	16.0
Learning Strategies	34.1
Collaborative Learning	24.3
Student-Faculty Interaction	12.8
Effective Teaching Practices	34.1
Quality of Interactions	37.8
Supportive Environment	23.2
Learning, Creative and Social Skills	32.3

C: Responses to individual questions

Higher Order Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	4.9	5.4	4.6	1.4
Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical	Some	29.2	28.0	33.5	20.5
problems or new situations	Quite a bit	42.0	41.1	43.9	41.8
	Very much	23.9	25.5	18.0	36.2
	Very little	9.0	9.0	9.2	8.5
Analysing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning	Some	36.0	37.6	38.1	5.1
in depth by examining its parts	Quite a bit	36.3	36.9	32.3	50.2
	Very much	18.7	16.5	20.3	36.2
	Very little	7.4	9.8	3.5	0.0
Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information	Some	33.4	32.3	35.8	32.5
source	Quite a bit	39.7	39.3	40.0	42.5
	Very much	19.5	18.5	20.8	25.1
	Very little	4.6	5.0	4.6	0.0
Forming an understanding or new idea from various pieces of information	Some	28.9	28.8	33.5	5.1
	Quite a bit	44.5	42.7	48.0	46.6
	Very much	22.0	23.4	13.9	48.3

Reflective and Integrative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	11.2	12.3	10.5	2.8
Combined ideas from different subjects / modules	Sometimes	45.3	46.3	43.7	41.0
when completing assignments	Often	32.3	29.9	36.3	41.2
	Very often	11.1	11.5	9.5	15.0
	Never	14.7	19.5	5.3	1.1
Connected your learning to problems or issues in	Sometimes	38.7	38.3	43.3	23.1
society	Often	31.8	28.1	36.8	52.3
	Very often	14.8	14.0	14.7	23.5
Included diverse perspectives (political, religious,	Never	19.2	23.3	9.5	15.0
	Sometimes	47.0	46.8	50.4	34.6
racial, ethnic, gender, etc.) in discussions or	Often	27.2	24.4	31.7	38.2
assignments*	Very often	6.7	5.5	8.4	12.2
	Never	9.9	10.9	9.5	0.0
Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your	Sometimes	45.4	43.8	53.2	28.8
own views on a topic or issue	Often	33.0	32.1	30.0	56.2
	Very often	11.8	13.2	7.4	15.0
Total talka hattan undanstan dan ana ana alaha dan sa	Never	4.5	5.0	4.2	0.0
Tried to better understand someone else's views	Sometimes	36.9	36.6	37.4	39.1
by imagining how an issue looks from their	Often	39.3	38.0	40.5	48.7
perspective	Very often	19.3	20.4	17.9	12.2
	Never	8.2	9.5	6.9	0.0
Learned something that changed the way you	Sometimes	33.0	31.0	39.5	26.5
understand an issue or concept?	Often	41.5	40.3	44.1	43.4
	Very often	17.3	19.3	9.5	30.1
	Never	6.1	7.5	2.1	6.6
Connected ideas from your subjects / modules to	Sometimes	27.5	28.0	31.1	5.6
your prior experiences and knowledge	Often	45.5	42.4	48.9	66.2
	Very often	20.9	22.0	17.9	21.6

Quantitative Reasoning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Baseland and decisions have decisions for	Never	38.2	43.2	29.8	24.5
Reached conclusions based on your analysis of	Sometimes	38.8	35.2	47.0	39.0
numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.)	Often	16.0	14.2	17.7	27.5
etc.)	Very often	7.0	7.4	5.5	9.0
Used numerical information to examine a real-	Never	38.1	45.7	22.1	32.1
world problem or issue (unemployment, climate	Sometimes	43.7	42.1	48.1	40.8
change, public health, etc.)	Often	14.9	9.1	27.6	17.1
change, public fleatth, etc.)	Very often	3.3	3.1	2.2	10.0
	Never	50.8	58.0	36.4	42.0
Evaluated what others have concluded from	Sometimes	35.6	28.3	52.5	35.1
numerical information	Often	10.7	11.2	8.8	13.9
	Very often	2.8	2.5	2.2	9.0

Lagration Charlesian	Danamana	All	Undergrad -	Undergrad -	Postgrad
Learning Strategies	Responses	Students	Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Never	5.0	6.2	3.3	0.0
Identified key information from recommended	Sometimes	37.5	34.2	50.8	11.2
reading materials	Often	40.6	38.3	40.4	65.9
	Very often	16.9	21.3	5.5	22.9
	Never	5.6	4.8	8.8	0.0
Reviewed your notes after class, tutorials, labs or	Sometimes	31.1	26.4	47.0	8.2
studios*	Often	38.3	36.6	37.5	59.0
	Very often	25.0	32.2	6.6	32.9
	Never	8.6	8.0	11.0	3.0
Summarised what you learned in class, tutorials,	Sometimes	40.2	35.2	51.9	40.2
labs or studios, or from course materials*	Often	33.9	34.5	31.6	37.8
	Very often	17.3	22.3	5.5	18.9

Collaborative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	31.1	42.0	7.4	9.5
Asked another student to help you understand	Sometimes	30.4	30.7	24.8	54.6
course material	Often	24.6	19.0	37.4	32.7
	Very often	13.9	8.3	30.5	3.2
	Never	23.8	30.9	5.3	25.0
Fundained course makerial to any an an attraction to	Sometimes	39.8	41.1	34.9	46.2
Explained course material to one or more students	Often	23.9	18.4	37.8	25.9
	Very often	12.5	9.6	22.1	2.8
	Never	32.6	42.2	8.4	31.6
Prepared for exams by discussing or working	Sometimes	26.8	24.5	30.7	35.9
through course material with other students	Often	27.4	25.4	32.6	26.9
	Very often	13.2	7.9	28.4	5.6
	Never	34.8	45.5	8.0	32.5
Worked with other students on projects or assignments	Sometimes	27.5	25.5	29.0	44.3
	Often	25.3	18.8	43.1	20.3
	Very often	12.3	10.1	20.0	2.9

Student-Faculty Interaction	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	59.5	65.5	48.0	48.6
Talked about career plans with academic staff	Sometimes	22.6	17.3	34.1	26.9
Talked about career plans with academic stair	Often	11.6	10.1	12.3	24.5
	Very often	6.3	7.1	5.6	0.0
NA/auto adikla a and a unio at aff au a atiiki a a thau	Never	73.4	72.8	75.1	73.1
Worked with academic staff on activities other than coursework (committees, student groups,	Sometimes	16.7	16.4	19.4	7.0
etc.)	Often	4.3	4.9	2.2	7.0
etc.j	Very often	5.6	5.9	3.3	12.9
Discussed course tonics ideas or concents with	Never	50.3	57.3	39.7	25.1
Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with academic staff outside of scheduled class, tutorials,	Sometimes	30.4	23.5	44.8	36.9
labs or studios*	Often	13.8	14.7	12.1	11.2
labs of studios	Very often	5.5	4.5	3.3	26.9
	Never	49.1	59.5	33.1	13.5
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Sometimes	34.2	26.6	49.7	44.4
Discussed your performance with academic stan	Often	10.2	7.2	13.9	25.1
	Very often	6.4	6.7	3.3	16.9

Effective Teaching Practices	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	5.9	5.8	7.1	0.0
	Some	23.4	19.1	36.6	3.6
Clearly explained course goals and requirements	Quite a bit	32.9	31.9	35.5	31.2
	Very much	37.8	43.1	20.8	65.2
	Very little	5.3	5.0	7.1	0.0
Taught in an agentical way	Some	22.7	20.6	31.9	0.0
Taught in an organised way	Quite a bit	40.4	39.5	43.3	36.0
	Very much	31.5	34.8	17.7	64.0
	Very little	11.6	12.0	13.0	0.0
Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult	Some	30.1	25.5	43.7	12.1
points	Quite a bit	30.0	31.9	22.5	46.9
	Very much	28.3	30.6	20.8	41.1
	Very little	29.9	34.9	23.6	3.6
Dustided feedlessly as a dusft as well in agreement	Some	34.4	31.6	45.6	8.7
Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	Quite a bit	24.4	22.0	23.6	55.1
	Very much	11.4	11.4	7.1	32.6
	Very little	17.2	12.7	30.7	0.0
Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or	Some	29.0	31.0	29.6	3.6
completed assessments*	Quite a bit	31.7	28.7	29.6	77.1
	Very much	22.1	27.6	10.2	19.3

Quality of Interactions	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	1=Poor	2.0	3.6	0.0	0.0
	2	2.7	1.9	2.4	10.7
	3	8.5	7.9	9.2	9.9
Students	4	15.4	18.2	12.1	9.9
	5	17.6	13.7	24.2	14.1
	6	21.9	22.0	21.8	21.5
	7=Excellent	31.9	32.7	30.3	33.9
	1=Poor	4.8	4.0	7.2	0.0
	2	11.9	9.9	15.8	9.1
	3	12.7	8.6	20.1	9.9
Academic advisors	4	17.7	15.5	25.3	0.0
	5	23.4	24.7	21.6	22.1
	6	11.4	14.0	4.3	23.7
	7=Excellent	18.1	23.3	5.7	35.2
	1=Poor	2.8	2.2	2.5	9.5
	2	4.6	4.9	5.0	0.0
	3	10.2	7.4	17.4	0.0
Academic staff	4	16.9	15.4	21.1	8.8
	5	22.6	20.4	26.8	21.3
	6	20.3	19.4	17.4	44.1
	7=Excellent	22.7	30.3	9.9	16.3

Quality of Interactions (continued)	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	1=Poor	4.2	1.6	6.4	13.4
	2	11.4	5.4	21.4	0.0
	3	10.3	10.4	11.6	0.0
Support services staff (career services, student activities, accommodation, etc.)	4	15.1	12.2	19.3	14.4
activities, accommodation, etc.)	5	24.9	25.1	24.5	24.6
	6	14.7	17.1	9.0	29.9
	7=Excellent	19.5	28.1	7.7	17.6
	1=Poor	3.7	2.8	3.9	12.1
	2	9.2	4.7	18.0	0.0
	3	13.8	13.8	16.2	0.0
Other administrative staff and offices (registry, finance, etc.)	4	17.6	14.4	21.9	22.3
iniance, etc.)	5	20.0	18.0	19.3	44.6
	6	12.1	13.0	11.6	6.7
	7=Excellent	23.4	33.3	9.0	14.3

Supportive Environment	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Providing support to help students succeed	Very little	10.5	11.1	11.3	0.0
	Some	31.0	24.8	46.5	22.6
academically	Quite a bit	41.3	43.4	37.2	37.5
	Very much	17.2	20.7	5.0	39.9
	Very little	22.6	20.8	30.6	0.0
Using learning support services (learning centre,	Some	32.7	32.1	38.0	10.9
computer centre, maths support, writing support etc.)	Quite a bit	29.5	30.4	24.0	49.2
etc.)	Very much	15.3	16.8	7.4	39.9
	Very little	37.7	38.0	41.7	13.3
Contact among students from different backgrounds (social, racial, ethnic, religious, agegroups etc.)*	Some	33.8	32.4	35.0	42.8
	Quite a bit	18.4	17.6	19.6	21.3
	Very much	10.1	11.9	3.7	22.6
	Very little	30.4	21.5	51.5	22.6
	Some	32.7	33.0	31.9	33.5
Providing opportunities to be involved socially	Quite a bit	26.2	33.3	9.3	31.9
	Very much	10.8	12.2	7.4	12.0
	Very little	19.8	17.3	25.7	18.6
Providing support for your overall well-being	Some	34.6	28.4	51.0	18.6
(recreation, health care, counselling, etc.)	Quite a bit	29.7	34.1	17.2	45.5
	Very much	15.9	20.2	6.1	17.3
	Very little	51.4	45.1	65.7	48.1
Helping you manage your non-academic	Some	29.3	33.1	23.3	17.3
responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	Quite a bit	13.9	16.0	8.6	17.3
	Very much	5.4	5.8	2.5	17.3
	Very little	43.0	37.4	62.5	4.0
Attending campus activities and events (special	Some	28.6	29.0	23.3	52.1
speakers, cultural performances, sporting events, etc.)	Quite a bit	20.1	23.4	11.8	26.6
	Very much	8.3	10.2	2.5	17.3
	Very little	39.1	36.0	51.5	9.6
Attending activities and events (special speakers,	Some	27.7	25.2	28.9	50.5
cultural performances, sporting events, etc.) organised by your institution*	Quite a bit	25.2	29.0	17.2	22.6
- 0.00	Very much	8.0	9.8	2.5	17.3

Learning, Creative and Social Skills	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	8.9	8.7	11.0	0.0
Weithing also also and afficients also	Some	29.1	28.0	36.8	0.0
Writing clearly and effectively	Quite a bit	40.6	43.0	31.9	60.1
	Very much	21.4	20.3	20.3	39.9
	Very little	15.6	19.0	8.6	13.3
Curalina official *	Some	27.8	26.5	30.6	28.2
Speaking effectively*	Quite a bit	35.3	34.1	36.8	41.2
	Very much	21.3	20.4	24.0	17.3
	Very little	5.3	6.5	3.7	0.0
	Some	31.2	33.8	28.2	17.3
Thinking critically and analytically	Quite a bit	40.8	39.4	45.3	33.5
	Very much	22.7	20.4	22.8	49.2
	Very little	28.0	30.3	27.0	4.4
Analysing numerical and statistical	Some	39.3	39.5	34.3	66.0
information	Quite a bit	20.6	17.8	28.9	6.2
	Very much	12.1	12.4	9.8	23.5
	Very little	8.2	11.4	2.5	0.0
	Some	28.5	28.4	28.2	30.6
Working effectively with others	Quite a bit	38.4	33.6	47.3	48.1
	Very much	25.0	26.6	22.1	21.3
	Very little	18.0	20.8	13.5	9.3
Cabina annulas van lusud mundama	Some	32.1	34.7	28.2	22.6
Solving complex real-world problems	Quite a bit	34.1	29.9	43.6	32.2
	Very much	15.8	14.6	14.7	35.9
	Very little	13.8	14.5	9.8	26.6
Being an informed and active citizen (societal	Some	36.1	37.1	36.8	20.2
/ political / community)	Quite a bit	33.8	32.4	36.3	35.9
	Very much	16.4	15.9	17.2	17.3
	Very little	6.6	6.1	7.4	8.0
Being innovative and creative	Some	32.4	28.9	41.7	24.2
Writing clearly and effectively	Quite a bit	40.7	41.9	38.7	37.2
	Very much	20.3	23.0	12.3	30.6

Non-indicator items	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Asked questions or contributed to discussions in class, tutorials, labs, studios, or online*	Never	9.6	11.8	6.3	0.0
	Sometimes	52.3	54.8	50.6	30.3
	Often	24.2	20.6	29.4	41.5
	Very often	13.9	12.8	13.7	28.2
Attended class, tutorials, labs, studios, or online without doing the preparation expected of you (completing readings or assignments, etc.)*	Never	31.2	39.4	11.6	25.0
	Sometimes	38.8	38.8	39.1	37.6
	Often	18.0	14.4	29.4	7.7
	Very often	12.0	7.4	20.0	29.7
Made a presentation in class, tutorials, labs, studios, or online*	Never	44.9	54.0	18.0	59.8
	Sometimes	29.8	24.1	45.9	24.2
	Often	18.8	16.7	25.5	13.2
	Very often	6.4	5.1	10.6	2.8

Non-indicator items	Responses	All	Undergrad	Undergrad -	Postgrad
	·	Students	- Year 1	Final Yr	taught
Explored how to apply your learning in the workplace	Never	8.6	10.7	5.5	0.0
	Sometimes	27.2	28.0	26.5	22.7
	Often	32.1	28.2	40.4	35.5
	Very often	32.1	33.1	27.6	41.8
Exercised or participated in physical fitness activities (whether related to your course/institution or in your life outside your institution)*	Never	30.3	29.3	30.9	38.4
	Sometimes	29.4	29.8	29.4	25.1
	Often	18.8	18.0	22.1	12.0
	Very often	21.6	23.0	17.7	24.5
Blended academic learning with workplace experience	Never	14.8	18.2	8.8	7.0
	Sometimes	28.6	28.7	29.8	21.5
	Often	27.8	23.8	36.0	32.7
	Very often	28.8	29.3	25.4	38.8
	Never	20.0	22.8	14.3	16.9
Worked on assessments that informed you	Sometimes	41.8	38.7	47.5	49.6
how well you are learning	Often	29.3	28.9	31.6	23.5
	Very often	8.8	9.6	6.6	10.0
Engage in voluntary activity (whether related to your course/ institution or in your life outside your institution)*	Have not decided	30.6	33.5	26.7	17.6
	Do not plan to do	14.6	11.1	18.9	32.6
	Plan to do	34.3	34.9	33.1	34.1
	Done or in progress	20.4	20.5	21.3	15.7
Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and skills	Very little	7.4	9.8	3.7	0.0
	Some	25.2	25.3	27.0	13.3
	Quite a bit	35.0	33.3	38.0	38.8
	Very much	32.4	31.6	31.4	47.9
How would you evaluate your entire educational experience at this institution?	Poor	3.7	0.7	11.0	0.0
	Fair	22.0	18.8	33.1	0.0
	Good	49.1	48.8	48.5	56.1
	Excellent	25.2	31.7	7.4	43.9
If you could start over again, would you go to the same institution you are now attending?	Definitely no	8.8	5.2	18.4	0.0
	Probably no	16.4	12.4	28.2	0.0
	Probably yes	42.7	41.1	43.6	56.1
	Definitely yes	32.1	41.3	9.8	43.9

Withdrawal	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Have you ever seriously considered withdrawing from your degree programme?**	No, I have not seriously considered withdrawing	59.1	66.4	40.7	70.5
	Yes, for financial reasons	11.5	10.3	16.0	1.6
	Yes, for personal or family reasons	10.7	9.6	13.5	9.3
	Yes, for health reasons	7.2	4.4	11.5	18.6
	Yes, for employment reasons	0.7	1.0	0.0	0.0
	Yes, to transfer to another institution	17.6	14.5	28.0	0.0
	Other reason	6.8	5.3	11.5	0.0

^{*}This question was revised for 2022

 $[\]ensuremath{^{**}\mathsf{Shows}}$ proportion of students that selected any response to the withdrawal question